

**The Dean Paradox:  
The Proof of God:  
God reborn with the  
Death of Logic:  
By Colin Leslie Dean**

**The Dean Paradox:  
The Proof of God:  
God reborn with the  
Death of Logic:  
By Colin Leslie Dean**

colin leslie dean Australia's Leading  
erotic poet free for download

[https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-](https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press)

[Books-by-Gamahucher-Press](#) Gamahucher press west geelong Victoria 2025

## **The Dean Paradox: The Proof of God: God reborn with the Death of Logic:**

By Colin Leslie Dean

### **Before going on read**

dean shouts ***“everything is in ruins demolished to dust to rubbish to utter collapse your world But your God reigns’ supreme”***

Many will find this pronouncement not merely provocative but terrifying. For to accept his paradox is to accept that everything once held as epistemically sacred—logic, science, mathematics—is broken. **It is to stand among the ruins of certainty with no map, no compass, and no guide but faith. Dean does not offer comfort;** he offers collapse. And from that collapse, dean shouts: **‘Your world is dust, but your God reigns supreme.’**

**For those proceed**

**To echo Nietzsche “God is dead” dean laughs “science is dead “mathematics is dead” “philosophy is dead “logic is dead”**

Atheists cannot use logic science rationality to disprove God as their god/logic is dead killed by the dean paradox

For centuries, science and philosophy have waged war against God.

Their weapons? Logic. Reason. Empirical observation.

Their creed? That rational systems alone reveal truth.

Their claim? That God is unnecessary—disproven by the cold certainty of logic.

**But now—the very ground beneath that certainty has collapsed.**

Enter the **Dean Paradox:**

“Logic insists that between two points lies an infinite set of divisions, making it ‘impossible’ to traverse from start to end.

Yet, in practice, the finger does move from beginning to end in finite time.”

Dean’s paradox(of colin leslie dean) highlights a core discrepancy between logical reasoning and lived reality. **Logic insists that between two points lies an infinite set of divisions,**

making it "impossible" to traverse from start to end. Yet, in practice, the finger does move from the beginning to the end in finite time. This contradiction exposes a gap between the abstract constructs of logic and the observable truths of reality.

Zeno said motion is impossible dean says motion is possible with the consequence of the dean paradox

- 
- <http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/The-dean-paradox.pdf>
- 
- Or
- scribd
- 
- <https://www.scribd.com/document/849019262/The-Dean-Paradox-science-mathematics-philosophy-Zeno>

This contradiction is not trivial.

It is not a quirk.

It is a **devastating epistemic bomb**.

It reveals the unthinkable: **Logic is misaligned with reality**.

The implications are nuclear.

If **logic**, the bedrock of science, mathematics, and rational philosophy, is **not a condition of truth**, then **no conclusion derived from it—including the claim “God does not exist”—holds any authority**.

## **All Systems Fail**

From Zeno to Russell, Plato to Wittgenstein, Hume to Quine—every philosopher has built on the assumption that logic grants access to truth. Science stands on this same foundation.

But the Dean Paradox **shatters** that foundation.

It exposes the **epistemic bankruptcy** of all systems—empiricism, rationalism, formalism. If logic itself collapses under its own contradictions, **so too does every structure built upon it**.

This is not just a critique—it is a **death sentence**.

- **Science is dead.**
- **Mathematics is dead.**
- **Philosophy is dead.**

And yet—**God reigns again**.

## The Resurrection of the Divine

For centuries, God has been “disproven” by logic.  
 But if logic is no longer valid, then **no disproof stands**.  
 No syllogism, no equation, no experiment can close the door on the divine.

This is not a return to blind faith.  
 This is the **destruction of the illusion** that reason alone can dethrone God.

The Dean Paradox leaves us with nothing.  
 No system. No certainty.  
 A void.

But in that void, something ancient stirs:  
**The one who was declared dead now lives.**

If Nietzsche declared “God is dead,”  
 Dean declares: **“Logic is dead.” God is reborn**

And in that funeral pyre of reason,  
**God rises—not as proven, but as un-disprovable.**  
 Not as dogma, but as the last truth left standing

Colin Leslie Dean's paradox presents a radical epistemological challenge that dismantles the authority of logic, science, and rationalism as tools for disproving God. Unlike traditional theistic arguments, Dean's paradox does not attempt to prove God's existence directly; rather, it undermines the intellectual framework used to refute it. The essay explores how Dean's paradox fundamentally shifts the discourse on faith, leaving belief in God beyond the reach of rationalist critique.

### 1. Introduction

For centuries, theological and philosophical traditions have attempted to justify the existence of God through rational argumentation. From Anselm's ontological argument to Aquinas's cosmological proof and Paley's design argument, theistic thought has often assumed that logic and reason are the proper tools for accessing metaphysical truth. Likewise, critics of religion have employed the same tools—particularly logic and empirical science—to undermine belief in God. Colin Leslie Dean's paradox presents a radical rupture in this tradition by revealing a fundamental flaw within logic itself, thereby invalidating its authority as an epistemic principle.

### 2. The Dean Paradox: Logic Versus Reality

Dean's paradox is deceptively simple but profoundly disruptive. It states:

"Logic insists that between two points lies an infinite set of divisions, making it 'impossible' to traverse from start to end. Yet, in practice, the finger does move from the beginning to the end in finite time."

This contradiction exposes a core misalignment between the constructs of formal logic and empirical observation. If logic cannot account for a basic physical phenomenon such as motion, then its reliability as a tool for discerning truth is called into question.

### 3. Traditional Theistic Arguments and Their Limitations

<b>Traditional Arguments</b>	<b>Dean's Paradox</b>
Use logic, inference, or empirical reasoning to argue for God's existence (e.g., Ontological, Cosmological, Design arguments)	Exposes a contradiction at the heart of logic: logic denies the possibility of motion, yet motion occurs
Vulnerable to counter-arguments and alternative explanations	Demonstrates that logic itself is misaligned with reality
Presume logic and rational inquiry as valid tools for accessing metaphysical truth	Shows that all philosophical systems—empiricism, rationalism, mathematics, science—are built on flawed assumptions
Aim to prove God as a necessary being or best explanation	Refutes the authority of logic to disprove or even assess God's existence

Traditional arguments for God, while intellectually rich, remain within the framework of rational inquiry. As such, they are vulnerable to critique, reinterpretation, and logical deconstruction. Dean's paradox bypasses this vulnerability entirely by removing the foundation upon which such critiques rest.

### 4. Logic as a Broken Epistemic Tool

Dean's central claim is that logic is not a reliable guide to reality. If logic cannot accurately describe motion, then it cannot be assumed to provide access to ultimate metaphysical truths. Since both science and rationalist philosophy are constructed upon logical frameworks, their conclusions—including those about the non-existence or irrelevance of God—lose epistemic force.

"The Dean Paradox kills the authority of science, mathematics, and philosophy outright, forcing us into an intellectual void where no structured system holds absolute truth."

In this void, the denial of God no longer carries rational superiority. Belief in God becomes intellectually unassailable, not because it is proven, but because it is no longer subject to meaningful disproof.

Atheists cannot use logic science rationality to disprove God as their god/logic is dead killed by the dean paradox

---

## 5. Faith in the Intellectual Void

Dean does not offer a positive argument for God's existence. Instead, he renders all rational disproofs impotent. In doing so, he creates an epistemic space where belief can exist without having to answer to logic or science. This is a dramatic shift. Where traditional theology has often played defense against rational critique, Dean's paradox flips the dynamic entirely. It is not belief that is irrational; it is the belief in reason's absolute authority that is now indefensible.

---

## 6. Why Dean's Paradox Appeals to Believers: The Collapse of Logic, the Return of God

**Colin Leslie Dean's paradox** is not merely a critique of logic—it is a philosophical earthquake. It shakes the foundations upon which modernity has built its case against God: logic, rationalism, science. For centuries, these were the instruments used to dethrone the divine. Dean breaks those instruments.

Where Nietzsche proclaimed “God is dead,” Dean proclaims: **“Logic is dead.”** And with that declaration, something long buried begins to stir—**faith reclaims its voice.**

---

### 1. Logic is Not a Condition of Truth

At the heart of Dean's paradox lies a fatal wound to logic itself:

“Logic insists that between two points lies an infinite set of divisions, making it impossible to move from one to the other.  
Yet empirically, the finger moves.”

This contradiction reveals a profound truth: **Logic does not match reality.**

It fails as an epistemic principle.

If logic cannot even describe motion without contradiction, how can it claim to disprove God?

Thus, **logic loses its claim to authority.**

And with it, the rational arguments that have long sought to eradicate belief.

---

## 2. The Collapse of Scientific and Rational Authority

Science and philosophy depend on logic as their lifeblood.  
But if logic is broken, then so too are the conclusions of these systems.

Dean's paradox unmasks **science and reason** as **painted veils**, not transparent lenses.  
They no longer have the power to say, "God is impossible," or "Faith is irrational."

These systems may still function pragmatically—but they **no longer speak with ontological authority**.

---

## 3. God Cannot Be Disproven

If **logic is no longer a reliable pathway to truth**,  
then **no logical argument can refute God's existence**.

Science, built on empirical logic,  
and atheistic rationalism, built on abstract logic,  
are both **stripped of their power to judge**.

Belief in God is **no longer under the jurisdiction of reason**.

---

## 4. Faith Reclaims Intellectual Ground

Once logic loses its supremacy,  
**faith no longer stands in opposition to reason**—it stands beyond it.

Believers no longer need to answer every scientific objection,  
because those objections **no longer possess epistemic force**.

Dean clears a space where belief is no longer marginalized—  
but **liberated from the tyranny of disproof**.

"The Dean Paradox kills the authority of science, mathematics, and philosophy outright,  
forcing us into an intellectual void where no structured system holds absolute truth...  
Thus, God reigns again."

---

## 5. A New Intellectual Landscape

Just as Nietzsche's death of God forced a reevaluation of meaning and morality,  
Dean's death of logic leaves us with **no system that can claim to know anything absolutely**.



This void, terrifying to some,  
**is liberation for the believer.**

In this new landscape, faith is **not refuted**,  
 not merely tolerated—  
 but **the only standing pillar** in a world where all else crumbles.

---

## 6. Why Dean’s Paradox Is More Compelling Than Traditional Arguments for God

Dean’s Paradox does not attempt to prove the existence of God through logic, inference, or evidence.

Instead, it delivers a far more radical blow: it dismantles the very authority of logic and science as tools capable of disproving God.

This approach breaks completely from the tradition of classical theistic arguments. Rather than playing the game of reason on reason’s terms, Dean declares the game itself invalid.

### *Key Reasons Dean’s Paradox Stands Apart*

#### **Traditional Arguments**

Use logic, inference, or empirical reasoning to argue for God’s existence (e.g., Ontological, Cosmological, Design/Fine-Tuning arguments)

Vulnerable to critique, refutation, or alternative explanations

Assume logic and rational inquiry are valid and universal tools for accessing metaphysical truth

Seek to demonstrate that God is the best explanation or a logical necessity

#### **Dean’s Paradox**

Exposes a contradiction at the heart of logic: logic insists motion through infinite divisions is impossible, yet motion happens in observable reality

Shows that logic is fundamentally misaligned with reality, so no logical refutation is epistemically valid

Declares all philosophical systems—empiricism, rationalism, science, mathematics—as painted veils woven from flawed logic

Refuses the logic-based framework entirely—renders God un-disprovable by removing the authority of logic itself

### *Why This Approach Is More Compelling*

#### **1. Not Just Another Argument**

Dean’s paradox does not add another brick to the tower of theological reasoning.

It burns the foundation.

Whereas traditional arguments try to prove God within the bounds of logic, Dean shows that those bounds are broken.

And if logic is not a condition of truth, then no logical argument—against or for—can claim ultimate authority.

## **2. Disarms Science and Rationalism**

By exposing the schism between abstract logic and physical reality, Dean strips science and mathematics of their claim to certainty.

“It kills the authority of rational systems, revealing science, math, and philosophy as painted veils over an unreachable reality.”

Thus, the rationalist project that has long sought to dethrone God is itself dethroned.

## **3. No Stable Framework for Disproof**

Traditional arguments can be refuted, tweaked, sidestepped.

But Dean doesn't try to win the argument—he destroys the battleground.

If logic is unreliable, then no disproof of God stands.

This is a more sweeping and invincible move than any positive proof.

## **4. The Intellectual Void—and the Return of Faith**

Dean leaves us in an intellectual void, a philosophical scorched earth where:

“no structured system holds absolute truth.”

But it is in this void that belief in God becomes unassailable.

Not because it has been proven true, but because the very tools once used to reject it no longer function.

God now occupies a space untouched by science, unreachable by reason, and immune to disproof.

## **The Price—and the Possibility**

The dean paradox shows logic is not an epistemic principle or condition thus logic cannot be called upon for authority for any view as it is flawed and broken

The dean paradox doesn't just question philosophy, mathematics, or science—it kills their authority outright, forcing us into an intellectual void where no structured system holds absolute truth. If Nietzsche's “God is dead” crisis left us searching for new values, Dean's paradox leaves us with no way to think—confronting a universe where no stable framework exists at all and leaves God to exist

The Dean Paradox achieves what Nietzsche's “God is dead” did for theology: it kills the certainty of rational systems, exposing science, math, and philosophy as “painted veils” over an unreachable reality.

but

**the price you pay for God to reign is “science is dead “mathematics is dead”  
“philosophy is dead” “logic is dead”**

---

## **7. Conclusion: A New Epistemological Landscape**

### **Faith Is No Longer Under Siege:**

Since logic and science can no longer claim to have disproved God, faith is liberated from the constant pressure to justify itself in rational or scientific terms. The paradox “removes the authority of science and logic as tools for disproving God,” so faith is no longer intellectually marginalized by rational critique

- .

- **Faith and Reason Occupy Separate Domains:**

As some have observed, “faith and reason exist in separate domains: one is evidence-based, while the other transcends such requirements”

- . Dean’s paradox makes this separation explicit and unavoidable: faith becomes a matter of conviction and trust, not something to be validated or invalidated by logic.

- **Intellectual Humility and Openness:**

The collapse of logical certainty encourages humility about what can be known and an openness to the possibility that ultimate reality—including God—may be fundamentally beyond the reach of rational proof or disproof

- .

**Dean's paradox represents a meta-philosophical revolution. It challenges the foundational assumptions of science, philosophy, and mathematics, declaring them incapable of delivering certainty. In their collapse, God is not proven, but He is no longer disprovable. This shift is more profound than any classical argument for theism. It liberates faith from the long shadow of rationalism and restores it as a legitimate stance in a world where no system can claim epistemic dominance.**

### **The Existential Shift**

Dean’s paradox leaves you in a universe where “no stable framework exists at all,” and all structured knowledge is revealed as a “painted veil” over an unreachable reality

. In this intellectual void, **faith is no longer threatened by logic, and logical proof is no longer the final word on what is real.**

“The Dean Paradox achieves what Nietzsche’s ‘God is dead’ did for theology: it kills the certainty of rational systems, exposing science, math, and philosophy as ‘painted veils’ over an unreachable reality... The price you pay for God to reign is ‘science is dead, mathematics is dead, philosophy is dead’”

**.In summary:**

Dean’s paradox radically transforms your understanding of logical proof and faith. It shows that logic cannot claim ultimate authority over reality or faith, freeing belief in God from the need for rational justification and rendering logical disproofs powerless. Faith, in this light, stands as a legitimate response to a reality that logic and reason cannot fully capture or control

Dean’s paradox radically transforms your understanding of logical proof and faith. It shows that logic cannot claim ultimate authority over reality or faith, freeing belief in God from the need for rational justification and rendering logical disproofs powerless. Faith, in this light, stands as a legitimate response to a reality that logic and reason cannot fully capture or control

Dean’s paradox leaves you in a universe where “no stable framework exists at all,” and all structured knowledge is revealed as a “painted veil” over an unreachable reality

. In this intellectual void, **faith is no longer threatened by logic, and logical proof is no longer the final word on what is real.**

"Dean does not prove God. He removes the very possibility of disproving Him."

In the aftermath of logic's fall, belief in God stands not as a conclusion, but as the last remaining possibility—unrefuted, unrefutable, and perhaps, for the first time in modernity, intellectually free

Note

Dean’s paradox does not offer a traditional proof of God’s existence; instead, it radically undermines the authority of logic and science—the very tools that have been used to argue against God for centuries. This shift is both philosophically and existentially disturbing for several reasons:

- **Collapse of Rational Authority:** Dean’s paradox shows that logic is not an “epistemic principle or condition of truth.” It exposes a contradiction between logic (which says motion through infinite divisions is impossible) and observable reality (where motion happens)
- . This means logic cannot be relied on to disprove God—or, by extension, to prove or disprove anything with absolute certainty.

- **Undermining Science and Philosophy:** Science and rationalist philosophy have long claimed to “destroy” or marginalize religion by exposing its claims to logical or empirical scrutiny. Dean’s paradox reverses this: if logic is fundamentally flawed, then all scientific and rationalist arguments against God “have no value thus they cannot disprove God”
- . This leaves science, mathematics, and philosophy as “painted veils” over an unreachable reality, with no privileged access to truth.
- **Intellectual Void:** Dean’s paradox “kills the authority outright” of all structured systems, “forcing us into an intellectual void where no stable framework exists at all and leaves God to exist”
- . The unsettling implication is that, with the collapse of logic and science as arbiters of truth, belief in God is left standing—not because it is proven, but because the tools used to attack it have been discredited.
- **Existential Dilemma:** The paradox presents a profound dilemma: either human logic is fundamentally misaligned with reality, or our perception of reality is an illusion. Both options undermine traditional claims to knowledge and leave us with a humbler, post-rationalist epistemology
- .
- **The Price of “God Reborn”:** As Dean himself notes, “the price you pay for God to reign is ‘science is dead, mathematics is dead, philosophy is dead’”
  - . For many, this is a deeply unsettling trade-off—gaining room for faith, but at the cost of intellectual certainty and the collapse of rational systems.

**In summary:**

Dean’s paradox is unsettling because it does not prove God in any traditional sense, but instead “proves” that the tools used to disprove God are themselves unreliable. This leaves both believers and skeptics in a radically uncertain intellectual landscape, where no argument—scientific or logical—can claim final authority over questions of faith

dean shouts *“everything is in ruins  
demolished to dust to rubbish to utter  
collapse your world But your God reigns’  
supreme”*

all ends in meaningless nonsense rubbish  
All products of human [the monkey  
(homo-sapiens) ] thought end in  
meaninglessness-even Zen nihilism  
absurdism existentialism all philosophy  
post-modernism Post-Postmodernism  
critical theory etc mathematics science  
etc

## FURTHER READING

scientific reality is only the reality of a  
monkey (homo-sapien)

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/scientific->

[reality-is-only-the-reality-of-a-monkey.pdf](#)

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/660607834/Scientific-Reality-is-Only-the-Reality-of-a-Monkey>

and

The-Anthropology-of-science

(science is a mythology) ie the scientific method is a myth

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/The-Anthropology-of-science.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/512683685/Prolegomenon-to-The-Anthropology-of-Science>

Scientific reality is textual

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Scientific-reality-is-textual.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/572639157/Scientific-Reality-is-Textual>

cheers Magister colin leslie dean the only modern Renaissance man with 9 degrees



including 4 masters: B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies)

He is Australia's leading erotic poet: poetry is for free in pdf

<http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/book-genre/poetry/>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press>

"[Deans] philosophy is the sickest, most paralyzing and most destructive thing that has ever originated from the brain of man."

"[Dean] lay waste to everything in its path..."

[It is ] a systematic work of destruction and demoralization... In the end it became nothing but an act of sacrilege