

**scientific reality is
only the reality of a
monkey (homo-sapien)**

by

Magister colin leslie dean the only modern
Renaissance man with 9 degrees including
4 masters: B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA,
B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic
studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies,
Grad Cert (Literary studies)

scientific reality is only the reality of a monkey (homo-sapien)

by

Magister colin leslie dean the only modern Renaissance man with 9 degrees including 4 masters: B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies)

List of **free** Erotic Poetry Books by Gamahucher Press by colin leslie dean Australia's leading erotic poet free for download

[http://www.scribd.com/doc/35520015/List-of-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-](http://www.scribd.com/doc/35520015/List-of-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press)

[Gamahucher-Press](http://www.scribd.com/doc/35520015/List-of-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press) Gamahucher press west geelong Victoria Australia **2023**

to start take the term **homo-**

sapien *A monkey made label*

Homo is the Latin word for 'human' or 'man' and *sapiens* is derived from a Latin word that means 'wise'

So we have the arrogant monkey telling itself that it is WISE

There is the world which is independent and exist independent of representation and observation.

Reality is that world seen thru observation and representation

Reality is a social construction

Reality is seen/constructed thru our words/meaning

Our words/meaning allow us to make sense of the world

Our culture gives us our words/meaning

This is called our semantic field

Different cultures have different semantic fields –thus different social constructed realities

Our semantic fields are just maps which cultures/people over lay on the world [to gives us our reality] to allow people/cultures to navigate thru the world both psychologically and physically

All animals have their maps-semantic fields

And

Monkey (homo-sapiens) have their maps-semantic fields

But

All maps-semantic fields

end in nonsense-meaninglessness

Haha

So homo sapiens are not monkeys they say monkeys have tails and homo sapiens don't

haha if you say I am an idiot

then

you also have to say the very science/scientists I quote to support my position are idiots

Haha

monkey homo sapiens

go look at your tail

<https://www.webmd.com/baby/what-is-a-human-tail>

Many believe that human ancestors had and used some form of a tail. Over time as a species, however, we evolved past

the need for such an organ, which is why the majority of humans no longer grow them. Most humans grow a tail in the womb, which disappears by eight weeks... Sometimes, however, the embryonic tail doesn't disappear and the baby is born with it. This is a true human tail

haha

you dont get it do you monkey homo sapiens

the notion of species is nonsense

as your science is nonsense

go read the definitions

and laugh at your ridiculous science with its crap species

hahaha

by their own definitions

as shown

they are talking nonsense

that is a FACT based on their OWN
definitions

you have two options

just

yes

or

no

are the scientists/science sites lying when
they gives us the definitions of species
below

either

yes

or no

If they are lying

Then you go take it up with them

If they are not lying but telling the truth

Then we have science/biology ends in contradiction

so what is a species

just a definition

<https://www.nationalgeographic.org/encyclopedia/species/>

"A species is often defined as a group of organisms that can reproduce naturally with one another and create fertile offspring"

Or from your own biology site

<https://www.biologyonline.com/dictionary/species>

“One can also define species as an individual belonging to a group of organisms (or the entire group itself) having common characteristics and (usually) are capable of mating with one another to produce fertile offspring.”

but

but species hybridization contradicts

that

<https://kids.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frym.2019.00113>

"When organisms from two different species mix, or breed together, it is known as hybridization"

"Fertile hybrids create a very complex problem in science, because this breaks

a rule from the Biological Species Concept"

so the definition of species is

nonsense

note

when Biologist cant tell us what a species is -without contradiction

thus evolution theory ie evolving species is nonsense

WE REACH NOW

the death of god -killed by the monkey (homo-sapiens)

so now with the death of god ast Nietzsche so elegantly described

the monkey (homo-sapiens) hast now taken gods place

the monkey (homo-sapiens) now is the
supreme super-mind the supreme
intelligence in the universe

it calls itself wise andst regards itself
now ast having the mind intelligence
reason that canst know the truth the
total knowledge of the universe

the monkey (homo-sapiens) now
believes it hast the ability to be
omniscient

its arrogance

its hubris

its ego

are beyond belief boundless infinite its
its opinion of itself its pride its hubris
even goes beyond even the gods

yet for all this pride in its abilities

its semantic fields/maps

all end in meaningless nonsense rubbish

All products of human [the monkey
(homo-sapiens)] thought end in
meaninglessness-even Zen nihilism
absurdism existentialism all philosophy
post-modernism Post-Postmodernism
critical theory etc mathematics science
etc

so read on andst see the total dross
rubbish that comes fromst this monkey
(homo-sapiens) ridiculous mind which
still is wallowing inst the cave
darkness where it still lives- but
unknown to itself- with its head up its
ass

To see how this monkey (homo-sapiens) behaves

Read

Prolegomenon to the anthropology of monkey (homo-sapiens)

PENSES

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Prolegomenon-to-the-anthropology-of-monkey.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/664786006/Prolegomenon-to-the-Anthropology-of-Monkey-homo-sapiens>

So lets start

scientific reality is only the reality of a
monkey (homo-sapien)

just as a bird has a bird reality

just as a worm has a worm reality

so

scientific reality is only the reality of a
monkey (homo-sapien)

but

the stupid monkey (homo-sapien) thinks
arrogantly that its reality is the total "true
reality"

just like the worm would thinks its reality
is the total "true reality"

This stupid monkey says it is the superior
life form

The monkey homo-sapien is limited by and cannot go beyond by its senses-of which its instruments are just extensions of- and the hard wirering of its monkey brain-which limits its processing power- Thus it can only ever understand “Reality” thru the limitations of its biologically/neurologically brain thus can never go beyond those limits in understanding “Reality” Thus for monkey homo-sapien **scientific reality is/can only be the reality of a monkey (homo-sapien) -*EVERYTHING WE THINK OR DO FROM WIPING OUR ASS TO DROPPING BOMBS FROM WAR TO POLITICS ETC IS JUST MONKEY HOMO-SAPIEN BEHAVIOUR***

Just as the worm is limited by and cannot go beyond by its senses and the hard wiring of its brain-which limits its processing power Thus it can only ever understand “Reality” thru the limitations of its biologically/neurologically brain thus can never go beyond those limits in understanding “Reality” Thus for a worm **scientific reality could only be the reality of a worm**

Hither to monkey man has been arrogant about its reason It believed reason was a tool to understand to create knowledge it created vast systems deep ideologies profound “truths”

Monkey man believed its reason could unlock “truths” but now it has come to the end of its arrogance its all pervading belief in the abilities its reason

There is nowhere to go now it is the end point reason is bankrupt it is all over it is the end of history-for everything that comes from the mind of monkey man any system any ideology

any science any mathematics etc from the past now and into the future will be seen to end in nonsense rubbish meaninglessness

Since

all observation is theory laden

reality is just what A theory says it is- ie what the monkey says it is

truth is what A theory says it is ie what the monkey says it is

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Scientific-reality-is-textual.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/572639157/Scientific-Reality-is-Textual>

TRUTH

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth>

- 2 Major theories
 - 2.1 Substantive
 - o 2.1.1 Correspondence
 - o 2.1.2 Coherence
 - o 2.1.3 Pragmatic
 - o 2.1.4 Constructivist
 - o 2.1.5 Consensus
 - 2.2 Minimalist
 - o 2.2.1 Deflationary
 - o 2.2.2 Performative
 - o 2.2.3 Redundancy and related

o 2.2.4 Philosophical skepticism

- 2.3 Pluralist
- 3 Formal theories
- 3.1 Logic
- 3.2 Mathematics
- 3.3 Tarski's semantics
- 3.4 Kripke's semantics

All products of human thought end in
 meaninglessness-even Zen nihilism
 absurdism existentialism all philosophy
 post-modernism Post-Postmodernism
 critical theory etc mathematics science etc

The-Anthropology-of-science

(science is a mythology) ie the scientific
 method is a myth

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/The-Anthropology-of-science.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/512683685/Prolegomenon-to-The-Anthropology-of-Science>

What is science -no more than monkey homo sapiens big noting itself

what is science?

you might say that which uses the scientific method

<https://en.wikiquote.org/wiki/Science>

Science in the broadest sense refers to any system of objective knowledge. In a more restricted sense, science refers to a system of acquiring knowledge based on the scientific method,

but

hahaha the scientific method is a myth

haha if you say I am an idiot

then

you also have to say the very
science/scientists I quote to support my
position are idiots

take this

THE SCIENTIFIC METHOD IS A
MYTH

<https://www.google.com/search?client=firefox-b-d&q=scientific+method+a+myth>

AND

take this

THE SCIENTIFIC METHOD IS A
MYTH

<https://www.wtamu.edu/~cbaird/sq/2012/11/20/who-invented-the-scientific-method/>

There is not one scientific method. The existence of one, golden-standard scientific method is a myth perpetuated by ELEMENTARY school textbooks in an effort to make science accessible to YOUNG students. This myth is chronicled in the book "Scientific Literacy and the Myth of the Scientific Method" by Henry H. Bauer.

So who says there is a scientific method
well scientist tell us that

why

to give them social PRESTIGE

how

well

well because it then demarcates science from pseudo-science those who dont have the scientific method

thus enhancing the PRESTIGE of scientists

why do scientists want social PRESTIGE

well they are a monkey homo-sapiens and monkey homo-sapiens want to be better than all the other monkey homo-sapiens-just monkey behavior

that is why

monkey homo-sapiens compete with other monkey homo-sapiens trying to be better generally by acquiring social status thru objects or things other monkey homo-sapiens envy ie biggg car bigggg house expensive underwear etc

Andst why doeth these monkey homo sapiens compete with each to each perhaps no more than to at the end to attract some she monkey homo sapien maiden sexy just like certain birds ie Bower birds Peacocks

For ast Lord Byron –the great romantic poet -didst sagely say in that glorious Childe Harold’s Pilgrimage (canto 1 1x)

“Maidens, like moths, are ever caught by glare”

Andst what may that glare be that attracts the she monkey homo sapien

Well wealth power strength aristocracy titles etc anything to which the culture she be in doth value

Andst why

Because ast a she monkey homo sapien here task ist to breed with the best alpha monkey homo sapiens she can catch andst to have her bubbers in the best monkey homo sapien nest she cants get –love being the mechanism to create bonding that enable the male monkey homo sapien to hang around long enough to bring u the bubbers

But I do digress ast

Let us progress

Andst further on we go to

Determinism ends in contradiction

Thus linear causality is
undermined/destroyed

Thus science is undermined /destroyed
proof

Magister colin leslie dean has shown

Determinism shown to end in
Meaninglessness nonsense

Causal determinism

en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Determinism

“Causal determinism, sometimes
synonymous with historical determinism (a
sort of path dependence), is "the idea that
every event is necessitated by antecedent
events and conditions together with the
laws of nature." “Causal determinism has
also been considered more generally as the
idea that everything that happens or exists
is caused by antecedent conditions”

take the 3 body problem –as a simplification of all things in the universe

But note all the universe is made up of things in interrelationships with everything else

if we take Newton's law of gravitation

$$F = G(m_1m_2)/R^2.$$

Thus when we move object A it effects the other two objects B and C

But when objects B and C move that effects object A

So

we can say that A in effect caused its own motion

thus we can say the antecedent cause of A is infact just the antecedent A itself

in other words the cause of the cause is the cause

just nonsense meaninglessness

note

because all things in the universe are interrelationships with everything else

then

from the above all things are their own antecedent cause

just nonsense meaninglessness

thus causation is both logically nonsense and science itself must then be meaningless nonsense

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Determinism-shown-to-end-in-meaninglessness.pdf>

or

www.scribd.com/document/716760568/Determinism-Shown-to-End-in-Meaninglessness-causation-science-Hume-epistemology-logic-ontology-metaphysics-Kant-physics

further

Scientific reality is textual

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Scientific-reality-is-textual.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/572639157/Scientific-Reality-is-Textual>

Haha

So homo sapiens are not monkeys they say monkeys have tails and homo sapiens don't

haha if you say I am an idiot

then

you also have to say the very science/scientists I quote to support my position are idiots

Haha

monkey homo sapiens

go look at your tail

<https://www.webmd.com/baby/what-is-a-human-tail>

Many believe that human ancestors had and used some form of a tail. Over time as a species, however, we evolved past the need for such an organ, which is why the majority of humans no longer grow them. Most humans grow a tail in the womb, which disappears by eight weeks... Sometimes, however, the embryonic tail doesn't disappear and the baby is born with it. This is a true human tail

haha

you dont get it do you monkey homo sapiens

the notion of species is nonsense

as your science is nonsense

go read the definitions

and laugh at your ridiculous science with its crap species

hahaha

by their own definitions

as shown

they are talking nonsense

that is a FACT based on their OWN
definitions

you have two options

just

yes

or

no

are the scientists/science sites lying when
they give us the definitions of species
below

either

yes

or

no

If they are lying

Then you go take it up with them

If they are not lying but telling the truth

Then we have science/biology ends in contradiction

so what is a species

just a definition

<https://www.nationalgeographic.org/encyclopedia/species/>

"A species is often defined as a group of organisms that can reproduce naturally with one another and create fertile offspring"

Or from your own biology site

<https://www.biologyonline.com/dictionary/species>

“One can also define species as an individual belonging to a group of organisms (or the entire group itself) having common characteristics and (usually) are capable of mating with one another to produce fertile offspring.”

but

but species hybridization contradicts

that

<https://kids.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frym.2019.00113>

"When organisms from two different species mix, or breed together, it is known as hybridization"

"Fertile hybrids create a very complex problem in science, because this breaks

a rule from the Biological Species Concept"

so the definition of species is

nonsense

note

when Biologist cant tell us what a species is -without contradiction

thus evolution theory ie evolving species is nonsense

THE END OF HISTORY Magister colin
leslie dean

<https://www.scribd.com/document/605875005/THE-END-OF-HISTORY>

or

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/THE-END-OF-HISTORY-Magister-colin-leslie-dean.pdf>

The end of history because-
EVERYTHING ends in nonsense rubbish

All products of human thought end in
meaninglessness-even Zen nihilism
absurdism existentialism all philosophy
post-modernism Post-Postmodernism
critical theory etc mathematics science etc
a theory of everything

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/A-Theory-of-Everything.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/455372682/A-Theory-of-Everything>

All products of human thought end in
meaninglessness-even Zen nihilism
absurdism existentialism all philosophy
post-modernism Post-Postmodernism
critical theory etc mathematics science etc

All things are possible

With maths being inconsistent you can prove anything in maths ie you can prove Fermat's last theorem and you can disprove Fermat's last theorem

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/All-things-are-possible.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/324037705/All-Things-Are-Possible-philosophy>

Mathematicians are not an intelligent lot

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Mathematicians-are-not-an-intelligent-lot.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/640986761/Mathematicians-Are-Not-an-Intelligent-Lot-epistemology-logic-mathematics-philosophy-foundations>

Mathematics ends in contradiction:6
proofs

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/MATHEMATICS.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/40697621/Mathematics-Ends-in-Meaninglessness-ie-self-contradiction>

Prolegomenon to undermining the
foundations/fundamentals of science (the
foundations of science are a
myth:gravity,matter,charge,force)

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/undermining-the-foundations-of-science.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/591616840/Prolegomenon-to-Undermining-the-Foundations-of-Science>

EVEN your hallowed NASA agrees
with Magister

<https://starchild.gsfc.nasa.gov/docs/StarChild/questions/question30.html>

what is gravity

"We don't really know. However, if we are to be honest, we do not know what gravity "is" in any fundamental way - we only know how it behaves."

The age of the enlightenment is at an end:
reason is bankrupt

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/The-age-of-the-enlightenment-is-at-an-end.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/552377365/The-Age-of-the-Enlightenment-is-at-an-end-reason-is-bankrupt>

A SOLUTION

Prolegomenon to beyond the boundary
of the monkey reality

[http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com
/wp-content/uploads/Prolegomenon-to-
beyond-the-boundary-of-reality.pdf](http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Prolegomenon-to-beyond-the-boundary-of-reality.pdf)

or

[https://www.scribd.com/document/5851
40550/Prolegomenon-to-Beyond-the-
Boundary-of-Reality](https://www.scribd.com/document/585140550/Prolegomenon-to-Beyond-the-Boundary-of-Reality)

Our

instruments which we
investigate our reality are
only extensions of our
senses and we use our
bounded logic and language
to make sense of the data

BUT

Perfume is invisible to the
ear

Sound is invisible to the eye

Colour is invisible to the
taste

Sweet is invisible to the
touch

A Prolegomenon to a Grand Unified
Theory

[http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com
/wp-content/uploads/Prolegomenon.pdf](http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Prolegomenon.pdf)

or

[https://www.scribd.com/document/5087
21702/Prolegomenon-to-a-Grand-
Unified-Theory](https://www.scribd.com/document/508721702/Prolegomenon-to-a-Grand-Unified-Theory)

To
go beyond its limits monkey-
man must decenter itself- due
to its arrogance- and go
beyond those conditions that
have made the dog and
monkey-man what they are to
escape its limits and see the
bigger picture –perhaps a
picture already seen by the
mystic: can a fish comprehend
what is beyond water ie air
can a bird comprehend what is
beyond air ie space can
monkey-man comprehend

what is beyond space ie ???

AND

Godels theorems 1 & 2 to be invalid: end in
meaninglessness

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/A-Theory-of-Everything.pdf>

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/GODEL5.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/32970323/Godels-incompleteness-theorem-invalid-illegitimate>

from

<http://pricegems.com/articles/Dean-Godel.html>

"Mr. Dean complains that Gödel "cannot
tell us what makes a mathematical

statement true", but Gödel's Incompleteness theorems make no attempt to do this"

Gödel's 1st theorem

"....., there is an arithmetical statement that is true,[1] but not provable in the theory (Kleene 1967, p. 250

Gödel can't tell us what makes a mathematical statement true,

thus his theorem is meaningless

in the statement

"there is an arithmetical statement that is true,[1] but not provable in the theory"

Gödel can't tell us what the word "true" means

thus

the word "true" is meaningless

thus

the statement

"there is an arithmetical statement that is true,[1] but not provable in the theory"

is meaningless

thus

thus gödels 1st theorem is meaningless

checkmate game over

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth#Mathematics>

Gödel thought that the ability to perceive the truth of a mathematical or logical proposition is a matter of intuition, an ability he admitted could be ultimately beyond the scope of a formal theory of logic or mathematics[63][64] and perhaps best considered in the realm of human comprehension and communication, but commented: Ravitch, Harold (1998). "On Gödel's Philosophy of Mathematics" .,Solomon, Martin (1998).

"On Kurt Gödel's Philosophy of Mathematics"

thus by not telling us what makes a maths statement true Godels 1st theorem is meaningless

mathematics is rubbish as you can prove any crap you want in mathematics

here is a 5 proof from the Magister colin leslie dean

before you start reading have a look at this great critique- by a mathematician- of the Magisters poetry

https://www.reddit.com/r/mathmemes/comments/14yf49q/because_i_feel_like_it/

now for the proof

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/All-things-are-possible.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/324037705/All-Things-Are-Possible-philosophy>

or

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/MATHEMATICS.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/40697621/Mathematics-Ends-in-Meaninglessness-ie-self-contradiction>

let $x=0.999\dots$ (the 9s dont stop thus is an infinite decimal thus non-integer)

$$10x = 9.999\dots$$

$$10x - x = 9.999\dots - 0.999\dots$$

$$9x = 9$$

$$x = 1 \text{ (an integer)}$$

maths prove an interger=/is a non-integer

maths ends in contradiction

thus mathematics is rubbish as you can prove any crap you want in mathematics

an integer = non-integer ($1 = 0.999\dots$) thus maths ends in contradiction: thus it is proven you can prove anything in maths

now before you all start rabbiting on take note

you have two options

just

yes

or

no

are the mathematician/maths site lying when they say

either

yes

or

no

mathematician/mathematic sites are
lying when they say

An integer is a number with **NO**
DECIMAL or fractional part

If they are lying

Then you go take it up with them

If they are not lying but telling the truth

Then you are stuck with mathematics
ending in contradiction

Because

By the definitions

a number with NO DECIMAL is/= a
number with A DECIMAL

thus a contradiction

by definition

0.999.. is an infinite DECIMAL no last
digit

https://encyclopediaofmath.org/wiki/Infinite_decimal_expansion

and

An integer is a number with NO
DECIMAL or fractional part

<https://www.cuemath.com/numbers/whole-numbers/>

Whole number definitions

<https://www.cuemath.com/numbers/whole-numbers/>

A whole number means a number that does not include any fractions, negative numbers or [no] DECIMAL. It includes complete or whole numbers like 4, 67, 12, and so on

Natural number is

defined to be

<https://www.cuemath.com/numbers/natural-numbers/>

They are a part of real numbers including only the positive INTEGERS, but not zero, fractions, [no] DECIMALS, and negative numbers

Natural numbers are the numbers that are used for counting and are a part of real numbers. The set of natural numbers includes only the positive integers, i.e., 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, ∞ .

thus

when

a number with NO DECIMAL is/= a
number with A DECIMAL

is a contradiction

Take definitions of INTEGER

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Integer>

An integer may be regarded as a real number that can be written without a

fractional component. For example, 21, 4, 0, and -2048 are integers, while 9.75, $5+1/2$, and $\sqrt{2}$ are not.

and for those interested in In modern set-theoretic mathematics

we also get

This notation recovers the familiar representation of the integers as $\{\dots, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

<https://www.cuemath.com/numbers/integers/>

Integers Definition

An integer is a number with no decimal or fractional part

A few examples of integers are: -5 , 0 , 1 , 5 , 8 , 97 ,

<https://www.mathsisfun.com/definitions/integer.html>

A number with no fractional part (no decimals)

the counting numbers $\{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$

<https://tutors.com/lesson/integers-definition-examples>

To be an integer, a number cannot be a decimal or a fraction

<http://www.amathsdictionaryforkids.com/question/integer.html>

integer

- a positive number, a negative number or zero but not a fraction or a decimal fraction. To be an integer, a number cannot be a decimal or a fraction.

when

when mathematics proves

1 (NOOOOOO decimal or fractional part- thus an INTEGER)= $0.999\dots$ (the 9s dont stop no last digit thus is an infinite decimal

with a decimal part thus **CANNOT** be an integer but a non-integer)

maths prove an interger= \neq is a non-integer

thus

maths ends in contradiction

AGAIN

If they are lying **ABOUT** the definitions

Then you go take it up with them

If they are not lying but telling the truth

Then you are stuck with mathematics ending in contradiction

a number with **NO DECIMAL** is \neq a number with **A DECIMAL**

is a contradiction

Now

When

an integer = non-integer ($1 = 0.999\dots$) thus
 maths ends in contradiction: thus it is
 proven you can prove anything in maths
 proof

you only need to find 1 contradiction in a
 system ie mathematics

to show that for the whole system

you can prove anything

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principle_of_explosion

In classical logic, intuitionistic logic and similar logical systems, the principle of explosion (Latin: ex falso [sequitur] quodlibet, 'from falsehood, anything [follows]'; or ex contradictione [sequitur] quodlibet, 'from contradiction, anything [follows]'), or the principle of Pseudo-Scotus (falsely attributed to Duns Scotus), is the law according to which any

statement can be proven from a contradiction.[1] That is, once a contradiction has been asserted, any proposition (including their negations) can be inferred from it; this is known as deductive explosion

2nd proof

A 1 unit by 1 unit $\sqrt{2}$ triangle cannot be constructed-mathematics ends in contradiction

Mathematics ends in contradiction:6 proofs

before you start reading have a look at this great critique- by a mathematician- of the Magisters poetry

https://www.reddit.com/r/mathmemes/comments/14yf49q/because_i_feel_like_it/

now

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/MATHEMATICS.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/40697621/Mathematics-Ends-in-Meaninglessness-ie-self-contradiction>

A 1 unit by 1 unit $\sqrt{2}$ triangle cannot be constructed-mathematics ends in contradiction

but

it is simple

before you all start going on

have a read and have LAUGH at someones ridiculous arguments to refute the Magister colin leslie dean

https://www.reddit.com/r/AnarchyMath/comments/14rt7hi/a_1_unit_by_1_unit_triangle_cannot_be/

mathematician will tell you

$\sqrt{2}$ does not terminate

yet in the same breath

tell you

A 1 unit by 1 unit $\sqrt{2}$ triangle can be constructed

even though they admit $\sqrt{2}$ does not terminate

thus you cant construct a $\sqrt{2}$ hypotenuse

thus

you cannot construct 1 unit by 1 unit $\sqrt{2}$ triangle

thus maths ends in contradiction

AGAIN

3 proof

most say the most certain of things is $1+1=2$

but

1 heap + 1 heap = 1 heap

$1+1=1$

get a salt shaker

pour out one heap of salt on the left

pour out one heap of salt on the right

NOTE WE ARE TALKING ABOUT HEAPS

now push the 2 heaps together ie we add them together

now what have we

we have one heap of salt in the middle

thus

1 heap + 1 heap = 1 heap

1+1=1

thus a contradiction in maths thus maths ends in contradiction ie meaninglessness-

But

to stop the a priori/analytic clap trap

just tell us

when you + the 2 heaps together

what do you see in front of you
again

you say but heaps can be of different sizes

you will say

1 apple + 1 apple = 2 apples

yet we can have a large apple + a small apple

yet you will say

1 large apple + 1 small apple = 2 apples

You will say

1 number + 1 number = 2 numbers

but mathematician dont even know what a
numbers is

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/MATHEMATICS.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/40697621/Mathematics-Ends-in-Meaninglessness-ie-self-contradiction>

yet you will say

1 number + 1 number = 2 numbers

but mathematician dont even know what a numbers is

A consequence of Kurt Gödel's work on incompleteness is that in any effectively generated axiomatization of number theory (ie. one containing minimal arithmetic), there will be true statements of number theory which cannot be proven in that system. So trivially it follows that ZFC or any other effectively generated formal system CANNOT capture entirely what a number is

Again

most say the most certain of things is $1+1=2$

but

1 number + 1 number = 1 number

1 number (10) + 1 number (20) = 1 number (30)

1 chemical (na sodium) = 1 chemical (cl chloride)
= 1 chemical (nacl salt)

1 DNA (female) + 1 DNA (male) =1 DNA (child)

$1+1=1$

or

1 DNA (female) + 1 DNA (male) =2 DNA (twins)

$1+1=2$

or

1 DNA (female) + 1 DNA (male) =3 DNA
(triplets)

$1+1=3$

or

1 DNA (female) + 1 DNA (male) =4 DNA (Quds)

$1+1=4$

thus maths ends in contradiction ie
meaninglessness-

With maths being inconsistent you can prove anything in maths ie you can prove Fermat's last theorem and you can disprove Fermat's last theorem

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/All-things-are-possible.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/324037705/All-Things-Are-Possible-philosophy>

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Principle_of_explosion

In classical logic, intuitionistic logic and similar logical systems, the principle of explosion (Latin: ex falso [sequitur] quodlibet, 'from falsehood, anything [follows]'; or ex contradictione [sequitur] quodlibet, 'from contradiction, anything [follows]'), or the principle of Pseudo-Scotus (falsely attributed to Duns Scotus), is the law according to which any

statement can be proven from a contradiction.[1] That is, once a contradiction has been asserted, any proposition (including their negations) can be inferred from it; this is known as deductive explosion

4th proof

Magister colin leslie dean proves

Godel's 1 & 2 theorems end in
meaninglessness

before you start reading have a look at this
great critique- by a mathematician- of the
Magisters poetry

https://www.reddit.com/r/mathmemes/comments/14yf49q/because_i_feel_like_it/

Godel's 1 & 2 theorems end in
meaninglessness

theorem 1

Godel's theorems 1 & 2 to be invalid: end
in meaninglessness

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/A-Theory-of-Everything.pdf>

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/GODEL5.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/32970323/Godels-incompleteness-theorem-invalid-illegitimate>

from

<http://pricegems.com/articles/Dean-Godel.html>

"Mr. Dean complains that Gödel "cannot tell us what makes a mathematical statement true", but Gödel's Incompleteness theorems make no attempt to do this"

Godels 1st theorem

“....., there is an arithmetical statement that is true,[1] but not provable in the theory (Kleene 1967, p. 250)

but

Godel did not know what makes a maths statement true

checkmate

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Truth#Mathematics>

Gödel thought that the ability to perceive the truth of a mathematical or logical proposition is a matter of intuition, an ability he admitted could be ultimately beyond the scope of a formal theory of logic or mathematics[63][64] and perhaps best considered in the realm of human comprehension and communication, but commented: Ravitch, Harold (1998). "On Gödel's Philosophy of

Mathematics".,Solomon, Martin (1998).
"On Kurt Gödel's Philosophy of
Mathematics"

thus his theorem is meaningless

ALSO NOTE

Godels 1st theorem is logically flawed: His
G statement is banned by axiom of
reducibility

in the system Godel uses to prove his
theorem ie Principia Mathematica there is
an axiom called the axiom of reducibility

Godel constructs his G statement to prove
his 1 st theorem

“the corresponding Gödel sentence G asserts: “G cannot be proved to be true within the theory T””

BUT that statement is impredicative

BUT

Godels sentence G is outlawed by the very axiom of the system he uses to prove his theorem ie the axiom of reducibility -thus his proof is invalid,

http://www.enotes.com/topic/Axiom_of_reducibility

russells axiom of reducibility was formed such that impredicative statements where banned

thus

godel commits a logical flaw to prove his theorem-thus his theorem is invalid

theorem 2

Godels 2nd theorem

Godels second theorem ends in paradox—impredicative

The theorem in a rephrasing reads

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6del%27s_incompleteness_theorems#Proof_sketch_for_the_second_theorem

"The following rephrasing of the second theorem is even more unsettling to the foundations of mathematics: If an axiomatic system can be proven to be consistent and complete from within itself, then it is inconsistent."

or again

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6del%27s_incompleteness_theorems

"The second incompleteness theorem, an extension of the first, shows that the system cannot demonstrate its own consistency."

But here is a contradiction Godel must prove that a system cannot be proven to be consistent based upon the premise that the logic he uses must be consistent . If the logic he uses is not consistent then he cannot make a proof that is consistent. So he must assume that his logic is consistent so he can make a proof of the impossibility of proving a system to be consistent. But if his proof is true then he has proved that the logic he uses to make the proof must be consistent, but his proof proves that this cannot be done

note if Godels system is inconsistent then it can demonstrate its consistency and inconsistency but Godels theorem does not say that

it says "...the system cannot demonstrate its own consistency"

thus as said above

"But here is a contradiction Godel must prove that a system c a n n o t b e proven to be consistent based upon the premise that the logic he uses must be consistent"

But if his proof is true then he has proved that the logic he uses to make the proof must be consistent, but his proof proves that this cannot be done

Now

Some argue that Tarskis semantic theory of truth can fit Godels theorems

BUT

Tarski theory of truth ends in meaningless rubbish as does godel

to see godels meaningless rubbish-
Godel's 1 & 2 theorems end in
meaninglessness

https://old.reddit.com/r/math/comments/18j265d/godels_1_2_theorems_end_in_meaninglessness/

5 th proof

Now to Tarski theory of truth

<https://philpapers.org/rec/MILTOT>

BUT Tarski theory of truth ends in meaningless rubbish as does godel

But Tarski's theory of truth is logically flawed where in fact truth is never really defined . The problem with Tarski's theory is it requires a metalanguage and we get an ad infinitum If a grammar of a language must be in its metalanguage, as Tarski seems to require, then the grammar of this metalanguage must be in its metalanguage. Thus we have a notion of truth in the object language

dependent on the notion of truth in the metalanguage. But the notion of truth in the metalangague is itself dependent on the notion of truth in its meta-meta-language

As is stated in Philosophy of logic By Dale Jacquette, Dov M. Gabbay, John Hayden

<http://books.google.com.au/books?id=1xEVkzuX5e0C&pg=PA142&lpg=PA142&d...>

"the indefinitely ascending stratification of metalanguages in which the truth or falsehood of sentences is permitted for only the lower tiers of the hierarchy never reaches an end point at which the theorist can say that truth has finally been defined"

also

Interesting there is a theorem that says truth is undefinable ie Tarski undefinability theorem This theorem means no one not even godel can tell us what truth is

Tarski's theorem- means no mathematician including godel can tell us what truth is-thus godel's theorem is meaningless

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Tarski%27s_undefinability_theorem

Tarski's undefinability theorem, stated and proved by Alfred Tarski in 1936, is an important limitative result in mathematical logic, the foundations of mathematics, and in formal semantics. Informally, the theorem states that

arithmetical truth cannot be defined in arithmetic

. Can you see how stupid Tarski is with his undefinability theorem

If his theorem is true then from his theorem we thus cant know if his theorem is true –classic lair paradox

Or

We cant know if his undefinability theorem is true as we cant define what true is

Or again

And we cant know if his “undefinability theorem” is true as from his “theory of truth” truth is never finally defined as we have seen

So why bother with it But then
mathematicians NEED such theorems
for they all NEED to believe in
mathematical “truth” without it again
their mathematics is just meaningless
rubbish which makes their brains burst
and to flow out the ears in smelly
ooooze - as the Magister has show

Haha what a dickhead

NOW

The with the collapse of
science/mathematics into contradiction-
meaninglessness- we can arrive at the
raising of consciousness

It has been shown

everything is unintelligible and
paradoxical.

now this is an opportunity to raise your consciousness

as now

"... the student's world begins to collapse and dissolve and static consciousness begins to be dislodged ... [With] the collapse of predictive structure, the world becomes an unintelligible flux: without categorical structure or form ...rationality and judgment becomes silenced and paralyzed. This is the level of unintelligibility and meaninglessness."

thus this then

"... can bring about the radical transformation to sunya consciousness only by seeing through the formal structures which condition any view of the world or experience."

thus showing

that the "... prerelational, prelinguistic, preontological consciousness which can never be objectified, never constituted in any way, never referred to or described is called sunya"

<https://www.scribd.com/document/56925572/Altering-consciousness-from-western-psychology-and-prasangika-madhyamika-buddhist-theories-of-insight-generation-Cognitive-dissonance-Double-bind>

or

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/insightgelukba21.pdf>

NOW

What can this raising of consciousness achieve or lead to

Lets take mathematics

Thus

Even though mathematics is nonsense it nevertheless seems to work in the monkey constructed reality

The Question becomes

"the real question is why does mathematics work when mathematics is irrational inconsistent and ends in meaninglessness –that is the real mystery to be solved When it is solved perhaps a new revolution of thought perhaps new and more wonderful things to discover"

Magister colin leslie dean the only modern Renaissance man with 9 degrees including 4 masters: B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA,

B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies)

He is Australia's leading erotic poet: poetry is for free in pdf

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/book-genre/poetry/>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press>

"[Deans] philosophy is the sickest, most paralyzing and most destructive thing that has ever originated from the brain of man."

"[Dean] lay waste to everything in its path...

[It is] a systematic work of destruction and demoralization... In the end it became nothing but an act of sacrilege

