

The absurdity of reality

**(case study in the
meaninglessness of all
views)**

By poet

colin leslie dean

The absurdity of reality

**(case study in the
meaninglessness of all
views)**

By poet

colin leslie dean

List of free Erotic Poetry Books by
Gamahucher Press by colin leslie dean
Australia's leading erotic poet free for
download

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/35520015/List-of-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press>

**gamahucher press Victoria Australia
geelong west 2016**

free verse poem

The absurdity of reality-via

Aristotelian logic

All thinking via Aristotelian

logic leads to the absurdity, or

the meaninglessness of all views

This case study is meant to give

weight to the demonstration that all

conceptualisations, all products of

thinking collapse into absurdity, or

meaninglessness if Aristotelian

logic is an epistemic condition of

truth – which this thesis denies

Aristotelian logic contradicts the real world. There are phenomena in the real world which according to the law of non-contradiction can not be there—yet they are ie the wave-particle nature of light. Here is another phenomenon which is there, does exist and contradicts the law of non-contradiction These phenomena show the limits of Aristotelian logic

With Kant's transcendental idealism, according to Hegel, we get the idea that the contradictions in the

world are put there by the categories of the 'Understanding'. In other words it is thought or reason that create the contradiction in the world not the world itself. Hegel claimed instead that the contradictions had their seat in the very nature of the world. Aristotelian logic would say no contradiction can be true yet reality contradicts that truth for In reality a contradiction can be true. Is this glass half full or is it half empty as the Deans glass of the poet colin leslie dean which no one has seen before he

**points out this Deans glass is in
itself both half empty and half full
both simultaneously but that does
contradict the law of non-contradiction
of Aristotelian logic which does say a
contradiction cant be true but the Dean
glass exists it is true**



**in reality a contradiction can exist
and be true thus Aristotelian logic
by reality is shown not to be true
this finding by colin leslie dean has a
number of consequences**

- 1) **Aristotelian logic does not mirror reality it infact creates a reality Aristotelian logics reality is a reality that does not correspond to our reality. Aristotelian logic creates a particular ontology and reality due to an inbuilt ontology in Aristotelian logic**
- 2) **Aristotelian logic infact creates a reality/ontology based on the ontology of Aristotelian logic namely the law of identity and**

**the law of non-contradiction is
in Aristotelian logics reality
there are essences and no
contradictions leading to a
structure of dualisms –this
reality/ontology with dualisms
and essences created by
Aristotelian logic as Deans
glass shows is not the reality
we live in –Just as
Newtonian physics is not the
physics of the universe just as
Euclidean geometry is not the**

geometry of the universe
Aristotelian logic is not the logic
of the universe - A Dilemma
physicists uses Aristotelian
logic to arrive at a
paradox/contradiction which they
say exists but their very
Aristotelian logic they use to get
that says the paradox/contradiction
cant exist -as with Deans glass -
either a) they abide by the rules of
Aristotelian logic and say the
paradox/contradiction cant exist
which would mean they are wrong

or have made a mistake in saying paradox/contradiction exists or b) they say the paradox/contradiction does exist but then that makes invalid the *Aristotelian* logic they have used to get the result that the paradox/contradiction does exist thus if their reasonings are invalid how can they logically know the paradox/contradiction does exist The scientists accept their paradox/contradiction conclusion to be true/exist which their *Aristotelian* logic they use to

**arrive at that paradox/contradiction
conclusion says is not true/exist ie
in Schrödinger's cat it is both
dead and alive at the same time
now the Aristotelian logic they
use to get this
paradox/contradiction denies that
the paradox/contradiction can exist
Yet physicists say it does exist
thus we have the dilemma as above
The way out of the dilemma is
just to see that Aristotelian logic
is not the logic of the universe—
which scientists assume it is**

without proving it is In other words scientists use Aristotelian logic because they assume it is the logic of the universe but they don't prove Aristotelian logic is the logic of the universe thus their unproven belief that Aristotelian logic is the logic of the universe is just faith based-yet some scientists will criticize religions for being faith based

3) If Aristotelian logic as it did for the nihilists and existentialists leads you to see

**the universe/reality as
 meaningless just drop
 Aristotelian logic for as
 Deans glass shows logic does
 not mirror our universe/reality
 for this logic has no epistemic
 value in making claims about
 our reality—which is different to
 the reality created by
 Aristotelian logic**

**4) Aristotelian logic is not an
 epistemic condition of truth as -**

reality can show that logic is wrong—as Deans glass shows

5) if Aristotelian logic says something cant exist this does not mean that it does not exist —as Deans glass shows

6) Science believes the universe is logical ie Aristotelian logic [without proof]— Deans glass shows they are wrong in assuming this

7) Philosophers and scientists believe that if something ends in

**contradiction or is contradictory
then it cant be true- Deans
glass shows they are wrong in
assuming this**

**8) Deans glass shows the
universe can be contradictory
Thus all those philosophical
and scientific arguments that
dismiss systems that end in
contradiction as not being true
become untenable Thus we have
then that all those systems
dismissed for being in**

**contradiction may be in fact be
true nevertheless**

**9) The fact of Deans glass
means that universe becomes a
much more interesting thing
where in terms of logic it can
be totally or partially illogical
irrational made up of things etc
that in terms of Aristotelian
logic are a contradiction**

**10) The fact of Deans glass
means that the irrational
illogical can be true**

11) The fact of Deans glass means that science and philosophy lose their right to tell us what the universe is –as in their systems the universe is assumed to be logical ie Aristotelian logic where in fact we see it can be illogical ending in contradiction

12) The fact of Deans glass means we have to drop the belief philosophy and science are the only true adjudicators of what

the universe is we have to drop
the belief “in the universal
 applicability of the scientific
method and approach, and the
 view that empirical science
 constitutes the most
 "authoritative" worldview or the
 most valuable part of human
 learning—to the exclusion of
 other viewpoints.” - **As these**
beliefs are based upon
Aristotelian logic and its laws
ie that a contradiction cant
exist since the universe is

logical ie contradiction free-but

Deans glass shows these

beliefs are wrong

13) Deans glass shows we must

face the universe with no help

from logic- perhaps even to face

the universe by dropping

Aristotelian logic and its laws-

which can contradict reality

14) It makes one wonder what

Aristotle would have done if

he was aware of Deans glass

when he was working it his law

**of non-contradiction-as Deans
glass proves his law of non-
contradiction is not true-perhaps
perhaps Western philosophy
and science would have gone in
other directions**

**Now the real interesting thing
about deans glass is why no one has
seen the contradiction-and
consequence before .This half/full
glass has been around for decades –
perhaps centuries- with people**

asking "is it half full " or "is it half empty" Now Professors of philosophy Phd student post doctoral the so called brightest minds in the land etc not one of them has seen what colin leslie dean has seen- the deans glass- and the question is why not? perhaps some tentative answers might be for the sociology of knowledge social psychology etc

1) they are all sheep just going along with old patterns of thinking

2) they don't really have a critical/analytic mind

3) they cant think simply and see simple solutions and simple consequences they are just to much of sophisticated thinkers that they cant see real simple problems or simple consequences

For those who want to see simple contradictions—and there consequences that no one has seen before

more to follow

**for those interested in more works
pointing out the meaninglessness of
all products of human thinking then
read the following**

**other case studies in the
meaninglessness of all view**

The meaninglessness of mathematics

**[http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-
content/uploads/MATHSEMATIC.pdf](http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/MATHSEMATIC.pdf)**

**The meaninglessness of all the
products of human thinking**

[http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/contentlessthoug
ht.pdf](http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/contentlessthoug
ht.pdf)

**the meaninglessness of science +
mathematics**

[http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/phi
losophy/Absurd math science4.pdf](http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/phi
losophy/Absurd math science4.pdf)

Absurdity of logic

[http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/logiccentrismboo
k.pdf](http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/logiccentrismboo
k.pdf)

Absurdity of natural selection

http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/Natural_selection.pdf

**Godels theorem ends in
meaninglessness**

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/GODEL5.pdf>

**Godels theorem ends in
meaninglessness**

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/GODEL5.pdf>