Prolegomenon:

Scientific reality is textual

(leading to the

meaninglessness nonsense

inconsistency of reality)

By Magister colin

leslie dean

Colin leslie dean

B.Sc. BA, B.Litt(Gons), MA,

B. Litt(Gons), MA,

MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of

Psychoanalytic

studies,

Grad Cert (Literary studies)

Prolegomenon:

Scientific reality is textual (leading to the meaninglessness nonsense inconsistency of reality) Ry Magister colin leslie dean Colin leslie dean B.Sc. BA, B. Litt(Gons), MA, B. Litt(Gons), MA, MA (psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies,

2

Grad Cert (Literary studies)

List of free Erotic Poetry Books by Gamahucher Press by colin leslie dean Australia's leading erotic poet free for download http://www.scribd.com/doc/35520015/List-of-Erotic-Poetry-Booksby-Gamahucher-Press Gamahucher press west geelong Victoria Australia 2022 Scientific reality is textual it is made up of words And words are definitions

And humans make the definitions Jf we assume a naïve realist view on reality ie reality exists independently of humans then this reality is just a bunch of words which people in power tell us is reality

No you say

Science deals with truth

But what is truth

Truth is what the theory tells us is true

3

No you say

Well take a look at just how many theories/words of truth there are

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/7ruth

- <u>2 Major theories</u>
 - <u>2.1 Substantive</u>
 - o <u>2.1.1 Correspondence</u>
 - o <u>2.1.2 Coherence</u>
 - o <u>2.1.3 Pragmatic</u>
 - o <u>2.1.4 Constructivist</u>
 - o <u>2.1.5 Consensus</u>
 - <u>2.2 Minimalist</u>
 - o <u>2.2.1 Deflationary</u>
 - o <u>2.2.2 Performative</u>
 - o <u>2.2.3 Redundancy and related</u>
 - o <u>2.2.4 Philosophical skepticism</u>
 - <u>2.3 Pluralist</u>
- <u>3 Formal theories</u>
 - <u>3.1 Logic</u>
 - <u>3.2 Mathematics</u>
 - <u>3.3 Tarski's semantics</u>
 - <u>3.4 Kripke's semantics</u>
- <u>4 Folk beliefs</u>

But you say science deals with theories/things corresponding to reality

But that scientific reality is only what the theory/words says its Thus we have circularity-ie nonsense It thus is not really about truth but about who has the power to tell us what truth is

No you say things like electrons exist so are true

Rut

What is an electron nothing but a word with a definition

<u>https://www.techtarget.com/whatis/de</u> <u>finition/electron</u> "An electron is a negatively charged subatomic particle"

Ok

But what is charge

<u>https://www.corrosionpedia.com/defin</u> <u>ition/803/negative-charge</u>

"A negative charge is an electrical property of a particle at the subatomic scale"

Ök

But

what is electrical property

https://www.britannica.com/science/electricity

"electricity, phenomenon associated with stationary or moving electric charges"

So what we have is an electron is a negative charge

And charge is an electrical property And an electrical property is charge

Thus we have circularity A charge is an electrical property and an electrical property is charge Thus an electron is nonsense we don't know what it is

As its definition is circular

Again

 $\mathcal Y$ ou say no

Well once Pluto was defined to be a planet by consensus now the definition of planet has change so now Pluto is not a planet by consensus

 $\mathcal Y$ ou say no

Well once Aspergers was an independent illness

But

Now

<u>https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Asperg</u>

<u>er_syndrome</u>

"Asperger syndrome, as a separate diagnosis, was eliminated and folded into autism spectrum disorder"

Again

All that science does is juggle word/definitions logic choppers finding nuances and subtle deductions and construction a view of realitywhich most take as "true" but then we saw how truth its self is just a word/definition

7ake the virus

try this

https://www.scielo.sa.cr/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S0034-77442011000300002

"**Definitions** of life organisms **have been revised** in order to validate how viruses fit into them."

"New discoveries such as the Mimivirus, its virophage and viruses that produce filamentous tails when outside of their host cell, have stimulated the scientific community to analyze the current definition of viruses."

so lets have a look at virus definitions-a dogs dinner of definition juggling

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Virus#Classification

This system based classification on phylum, class, order, family, genus, and species. Viruses were grouped according to their shared properties (not those of their hosts) and the type of nucleic acid forming their genomes.[145] In 1966, the International Committee on Taxonomy of Viruses (ICTV) was formed. The system proposed by Lwoff, Horne and Tournier was initially not accepted by the ICTV because the small genome size of viruses and their high rate of mutation made it difficult to determine their ancestry beyond order. As such, the Baltimore classification system has come to be used to supplement the more traditional hierarchy.[146] Starting in 2018, the ICTV began to acknowledge deeper evolutionary relationships between viruses that have been discovered over time and adopted a 15rank classification system ranging from realm to species.[147]

thus

But that scientific reality is only what the theory/words says its

Jt thus is not really about truth but about who has the power to tell us what truth is

 $\mathcal Y$ ou say no

Well lets take vaccine

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC)

Defined vaccine as

http://web.archive.org/web/2021082611

3846/https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/va

<u>c-gen/imz-basics.htm</u>

Vaccine: A product that stimulates a person's immune system to produce immunity to a specific disease, protecting the person from that disease. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but can also be administered by mouth or sprayed into the nose.

Vaccination: The act of introducing a vaccine into the body to produce immunity to a specific disease.

But later changed the definition

when covid drugs came on the market

From producing immunity 70 offering protection 70 a new definition <u>https://www.cdc.gov/vaccines/vac-</u> gen/imz-basics.htm

Immunity: Protection from an infectious disease. If you are immune to a disease, you can be exposed to it without becoming

can be exposed to it without becoming infected.

Vaccine: A preparation that is used to stimulate the body's immune response against diseases. Vaccines are usually administered through needle injections, but some can be administered by mouth or sprayed into the nose.

Vaccination: The act of introducing a vaccine into the body to produce protection from a specific disease.

Again

All that science does is juggle word/definitions logic choppers finding nuances and subtle deductions and construction a view of realitywhich most take as "true" but then we saw how truth its self is just a word/definition

Lets take species

so what is a species

just a definition

https://www.nationalgeographic.org/encyclopedia/species/

"A species is often defined as a group of organisms that can reproduce naturally with one another and create fertile offspring"

but

but species hybridization contradicts that

https://kids.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/frym.2019.00113

"When organisms from two different species mix, or breed together, it is known as hybridization"

"Fertile hybrids create a very complex problem in science, because this breaks a rule from the Biological Species Concept"

so the definition of species is

nonsense

note

when Riologist cant tell us what a species is -without contradiction thus evolution theory ie evolving species is nonsense

lets take life

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life

"Biology is the science concerned with the study of life."

but

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life

"There is currently no consensus regarding the definition of life"

once again scientific reality is textual

In the social science the situation is the same

All that anthropology sociology etc do is again juggle definitions Mythology Religion

Power

Symbols

Signs

Jcons etc

are just definitions someone has come up with

7ake religion

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Zeligion

<u>#Definition</u>

"Scholars have failed to agree on a definition of religion"

7ake what is considered "normal" in sociology

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Social_norm#Definition_of_social_norms

"There are varied definitions of social norms"

And anyone familiar with social science knows the heated debates around what is the meaning of most of their jargon Each new Phd just juggles definitions logic choppers finding nuances and subtle deductions

Reality thus is seen to be just words/definitions

Words someone in power has come up with to define reality in the way the words/definitions construct-and make us believe is "true"

Who controls the dictionary controls the world

No No many will say science uses mathematics in its theories

So J ask what is mathematics

Well using numbers many will say simply

But

What are numbers

Mathematician cant tell us what a number is or without circularity/impredicative

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/MA75EMA7JCS.pdf

or

https://www.scribd.com/document/40697621/M athematics-Ends-in-Meaninglessness-ie-selfcontradiction http://www.iep.utm.edu/predicat/

In many approaches to the foundations of mathematics, the property N of being a natural number is defined as follows. An object x has the property N just in case x has every property F which is had by zero and is inherited from any number u to its successor u+1. Or in symbols: Def-N N(x) $\leftrightarrow \forall F[F(0) \land \forall u(F(u) \rightarrow F(u+1)) \rightarrow F(x)]$ This definition has the nice feature of entailing the principle of mathematical induction, which says that any property F which is had by zero and is inherited from any number u to its successor u+1 is had by every natural number: $\forall F\{F(0) \land \forall u(F(u) \rightarrow F(u+1)) \rightarrow \forall x(N(x) \rightarrow F(x))\}$

However, **Def-N is impredicative** because it defines the property N by generalizing over all arithmetical properties, including the one being defined.

again impredicative definition

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Impredicativity

Concerning mathematics, an example of an impredicative definition is the smallest number in a set, which is formally defined as: y = min(X) if and only if for all elements x of X, y is less than or equal to x, and y is in X.

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Settheoretic_definition_of_natural_numbers

A consequence of Kurt Gödel 's work on incompleteness is

that in any effectively generated axiomatization of number theory (ie. one containing minimal arithmetic), there will be true statements of number theory which cannot be proven in that system. So trivially it follows that ZFC or any other effectively generated formal system **cannot capture entirely what a number is.**

Whether this is a problem or not depends on whether you were seeking a formal definition of the concept of number. For people such as Bertrand Russell (who thought number theory, and he nce mathematics, was a branch of logic and number was something to be defined in terms of formal logic) it was an insurmountable problem. But if you take the concept of number as an absolutely fundamental and irreducible one, it is to be expected. After all, if any concept is to be left formally undefined in mathematics, it might as well be one which everyone understands. Poincaré, amongst others (Bernays, Wittgenstein), held that any attempt to define natural number as it is endeavoured to do so above is doomed to failure by circularity. Informally, Gödel's theorem shows that a formal axiomatic definition is impossible (incompleteness), Poincaré claims that no definition, formal or informal is possible (circularity).

Who controls the dictionary controls the world

The greatest scholar of our time Magister colin leslie dean

science is a mythology

the age of the enlightenment is at an end:reason is bankrupt

All products of human thought end in meaninglessnesseven Deleuze Derrida Foucault Critical theory the Frankfurt school nihilism absurdism existentialism all philosophy post-modernism Post-Postmodernism etc mathematics science etc

Magister colin leslie dean the only modern Renaissance man with 9 degrees including 4 masters: B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies)

"[Deans] philosophy is the sickest, most paralyzing and most destructive thing that has ever originated from the brain of man."

"[Dean] lay waste to everything in its path... [It is] a systematic work of destruction and demoralization... In the end it became nothing but an act of sacrilege."

All products of human thought end in meaninglessnesseven Zen nihilism absurdism existentialism all philosophy post-modernism Post-Postmodernism critical theory etc mathematics science etc He is Australia's leading erotic poet: poetry is for free in pdf

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/bookgenre/poetry/

points out

All products of human thought end in meaninglessness

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/A-Theory-of-Everything.pdf

or

https://www.scribd.com/document/455372682/A-Theory-of-Everything

The age of the enlightenment is at an end: reason is bankrupt

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/The-age-of-the-enlightenment-is-at-anend.pdf

or

https://www.scribd.com/document/552377365/The-Age-of-the-Enlightenment-is-at-an-end-reason-isbankrupt

the absurdity of reality

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/logic.pdf or

https://www.scribd.com/document/304318409/realityends-in-absurdity

The-Anthropology-of-science

(science is mythology)

<u>http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wp-</u> content/uploads/The-Anthropology-of-science.pdf

or

https://www.scribd.com/document/512683685/Prolego menon-to-The-Anthropology-of-Science

Scientific reality is textual

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/Scientific-reality-is-textual.pdf

or

https://www.scribd.com/document/572639157/Scientifi c-Reality-is-Textual

Prolegomenon-to-a-Grand-Unified-Theory

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/Prolegomenon.pdf

or

https://www.scribd.com/document/508721702/Prolego menon-to-a-Grand-Unified-Theory

Mathematics ends in contradiction:6 proofs

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/MATHEMATICS.pdf

https://www.scribd.com/document/40697621/Mathemat ics-Ends-in-Meaninglessness-ie-self-contradiction

With mathematics ending in contradiction you can prove anything in mathematics

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/All-things-are-possible.pdf

https://www.scribd.com/document/324037705/All-Things-Are-Possible-philosophy

Godels 1st & 2nd theorems end in meaninglessness

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/GODEL5.pdf

https://www.scribd.com/document/32970323/Godelsincompleteness-theorem-invalid-illegitimate

The limitations of Aristotelian logic: thus destroying logics claim to be a condition of truth

Contentless Thought: case study in the meaninglessness of all views

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/2012/09/contentlessthought.pdf

https://www.scribd.com/doc/40539525/Contentless-Thought-thought-without-content-no-basis-or-medium

ARISTOTELIAN LOGIC AS AN EPISTEMIC CONDITION OF TRUTH THE GRAND NARRATIVE OF WESTERN PHILOSOPHY: LOGIC-CENTRISM THE LIMITATIONS OF ARISTOTELIAN LOGIC

THE END OF ARISTOTELIAN LOGIC

LOGIC/ESSENCE AND LANGUAGE LEAD TO THE MEANINGLESSNESS OF ALL VIEWS

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wpcontent/uploads/2012/09/logiccentrismbook.pdf

https://www.scribd.com/document/40619867/Aristoteli an-logic-as-an-epistemic-condition-of-truth-the-grandnarrative-of-western-philosophy-logic-centrism-thelimitations-of-Aristotelian-logi and

A REASON FOR THE BANKRUPTCY OF LOGIC THE STULTIFICATION OF REASON AND THE MEANINGLESSNESS OF ALL VIEWS

http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/books/philosop hy/essence.pdf https://www.scribd.com/document/75350300/Essencethe-metaphysical-ground-of-logic-and-language-areason-for-the-bankruptcy-of-logic-the-stultification-ofreason-and-the-meaninglessness-of

All products of human thought end in meaninglessness