


*All things are possible*

**Case study in the  
meaninglessness of all  
views**

*By*

**Colin leslie dean**

***All things are possible***

**Case study in the  
meaninglessness of all  
views** 

***By***

**Colin leslie dean**

List of **free** Erotic Poetry Books by  
Gamahucher Press by colin leslie dean  
Australia's leading erotic poet free for  
download

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/35520015/List-of-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press>

Gamahucher press west geelong Victoria Australia

2016

## *PREFACE*

“Let 'q' be an arbitrary sentence of the language and suppose that the theory is inconsistent. This means that we can derive the sentence 'p and not-p'. From this 'p' follows. And from 'p' it follows that 'p or q' (if 'p' is true then 'p or q' will be true no matter whether 'q' is true or not). Equally, it follows from 'p and not-p' that 'not-p'. But 'not-p' together with 'p or q' entails 'q'.”

W.H. Newton-Smith, THE RATIONALITY OF SCIENCE, 1981, p. 229 states

**“...if a theory is inconsistent it will contain every sentence of the language ...**

**Thus once we admit an inconsistency into our theory we have to admit everything ...**

**a theory which contained each sentence of the theory's language and its negation...”**

proof

“Let 'q' be an arbitrary sentence of the language and suppose that the theory is inconsistent. This means that we can derive the sentence 'p and not-p'. From this 'p' follows. And from 'p' it follows that 'p or q' (if 'p' is true then 'p or q' will be true no matter whether 'q' is true or not). Equally, it follows from 'p and not-p' that 'not-p'. But 'not-p' together with 'p or q' entails 'q'.”

**Mathematics is inconsistent and since science is built upon mathematics science is inconsistent thus all sentences in mathematics and science are valid this means it is possible to prove anything and everything it is possible to prove Fermat's Last Theorem and it is possible to prove the negation of Fermat's Last Theorem It means it is possible to prove *Einstein's* theory of relativity and it is possible to prove the negation of *Einstein's* theory of relativity and there is a negation the *Brans–Dicke* theory**

[https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brans%E2%80%93Dicke\\_theory](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Brans%E2%80%93Dicke_theory)

**"At present, both *Brans–Dicke* theory and general relativity are generally held to be in agreement with**

observation. Brans–Dicke theory represents a minority viewpoint in physics.”

“It [Brans–Dicke theory] is an example of a scalar-tensor theory, a gravitational theory in which the gravitational interaction is mediated by a scalar field as well as the tensor field of general relativity. The gravitational constant  $G$  is not presumed to be constant but instead  $1/G$  is replaced by a scalar field which can vary from place to place and with time.”


Thus

**The system of mathematics contains everything it contains each sentence of the theory's language and its negation**

**The system of science contains everything it contains each sentence of the theory's language and its negation**

**All possible realities/theories and their negation are now possible and equally valid reality is thus meaningless it is a**

**Coincidentia oppositorum *it is what ever the theoretical system says it is and what it says it is its negation is equally valid- all theoretical systems are valid and so is the negation of these theoretical systems valid***

***Proof mathematics is  
inconsistent*** 

***A finite number is not a  
non-finite number***

***And its negation***

***A finite number = a non-  
finite number***

***It be proven that  
 $1 = 0.999\dots$***



**Let be  $x = 0.999\ldots$**

$$10x = 9.999\ldots$$

$$10x - x = 9.999\ldots - 0.999\ldots$$

$$9x = 9$$

$$x = 1$$

**But that proof thus shows a finite number be equal to a non-finite number thus a contradiction in terms thus mathematics ends in contradiction**

**Again**

$$1+1=2$$

**And its negation**

$$1+1=1$$

**It be said that  $1+1=2$  be a  
certain truth**

**Blah**

**1 number + 1 number = 1  
number**

**1 number (2) + 1 number (2)  
= 1 number (4)**

**So  $1 + 1 = 2$**

**And**

**$1 + 1 = 1$**

**Thus a contradiction in  
mathematics**


**Here we have two  
contradictions in  
mathematics**

***A contradiction in reality***

***A glass half full***

***And its negation***

***A glass half empty***

***Deans glass  show that  
the glass is half full and  
half empty at the same  
time thus showing the law  
of non-contradiction is  
wrong***



[http://cdn.preterhuman.net/texts/thought\\_and\\_writing/philosophy/rationality%20of%20science.pdf](http://cdn.preterhuman.net/texts/thought_and_writing/philosophy/rationality%20of%20science.pdf)

W.H. Newton-Smith, THE RATIONALITY OF SCIENCE, 1981, p. 229: "A theory ought to be internally consistent. The grounds for including this factor are a priori. For given a realist construal of theories, our concern is with verisimilitude, and **if a theory is inconsistent it will contain every sentence of the**

**language**, as the following simple argument shows. Let 'q' be an arbitrary sentence of the language and suppose that the theory is inconsistent. This means that we can derive the sentence 'p and not-p'. From this 'p' follows. And from 'p' it follows that 'p or q' (if 'p' is true then 'p or q' will be true no matter whether 'q' is true or not). Equally, it follows from 'p and not-p' that 'not-p'. But 'not-p' together with 'p or q' entails 'q'. **Thus once we admit an inconsistency into our theory we have to admit everything.** And no theory of verisimilitude would be acceptable that did not give the lowest degree of verisimilitude to **a theory which contained each sentence of the theory's language and its negation.**"

***All observation is theory laden Thus if you change the theory the meaning of the observation changes***

**Now with the inconsistency of mathematics and science all possible realities/theories and their negation are now possible and equally valid Thus we have now that all theories are now valid and the meanings these theories give to the observation are all valid**

**In the every day world this means that all**

**views are valid but so are the opposing views valid Thus all civil rights views are valid ie pro gay marriage is valid but so is the opposing view ie anti-gay marriage is valid**

**So with each opponents view being valid so there is no need/point to argue anymore**

**as**

**Each view contains within it its negation as all views end in meaninglessness**

Now natural language is inconsistent

As

<https://academic.oup.com/mind/article-abstract/LXIII/250/219/945364/VI-IS-EVERYDAY-LANGUAGE-INCONSISTENT?redirectedFrom=PDF>

“now it is clear from the work of Tarski that the language of everyday speech is” semantically closed” and hence inconsistent” (RM Martin “Some comments on truth and Designation” Analysis, January, 1950 ,p.65)

And

W.H. Newton-Smith, THE RATIONALITY OF SCIENCE, 1981, p. 229 states

**“...if a theory is inconsistent it will contain every sentence of the language ...**

**Thus once we admit an inconsistency into our theory we have to admit everything ...**

Thus

**Now with the inconsistency of language  
all possible views and their negation are  
now possible and equally valid Thus the  
philosophies of Kant Hegel Plato  
Aristotle etc all philosophies and the  
negation/opposite of the philosophies of  
Kant Hegel Plato Aristotle etc all  
philosophies are now possible and equally  
valid**

**Thus**

We can now just treat all views/ philosophies  
esthetically that is for their logical and  
argumentative beauty rather than for any  
fortuitous scientific or truth value just like one  
treats poetry painting music for their esthetic  
beauty

**So with each opponents view being valid  
so there is no need/point to argue anymore**

**as**

**Each view contains within it its negation  
as all views end in meaninglessness**



ISBN

9781876347864