

A Theory of Everything (Case studies in the meaninglessness of all views)

By colin leslie dean

B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies)

A Theory of Everything (Case studies in the meaninglessness of all views)

By colin leslie dean

B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies)

List of **free** Erotic Poetry Books by Gamahucher Press by colin leslie dean Australia's leading erotic poet free for download

<http://www.scribd.com/doc/35520015/List-of-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press>

Gamahucher press west geelong Victoria Australia

2020

A Theory of Everything

(Case studies in the meaninglessness of all views)

Magister colin leslie dean A Theory of everything "all products of human thought end in meaninglessness"

"[Deans] philosophy is the sickest, most paralyzing and most destructive thing that has ever originated from the brain of man."

"[Dean] lay waste to everything in its path... [It is] a systematic work of destruction and demoralization... In the end it became nothing but an act of sacrilege."

It seems to be the case that for all people a theory of everything would mean that all the sciences would be explained by a one theory That out of the theory of everything relativity and quantum mechanics would be lets say special cases flowing out of the theory of everything

Also all the sciences would be just special cases flowing out of the theory of everything Now whether this will happen what is for sure is Magister colin leslie dean has a theory of everything; not a theory of everything from which all sciences flow out of;but a theory of everything nevertheless

And that theory of everything is "A philosophy to dangerous to

acknowledge" which is "all products of human thought end in meaninglessness" mathematics science philosophy ie nihilism post-modernism etc; even the theory of everything from which all science flows out of itself will end in meaninglessness-everything ends in meaninglessness

Magister dean show mathematics ends in meaninglessness

because

it is proven

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/All-things-are-possible.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/324037705/All-Things-Are-Possible-philosophy>

an integer $1 =$ a non-integer $0.999\dots$ thus mathematics ends in contradiction

what does this notation mean

$0.888\dots$

and

$0.999\dots$

and why you are at it

integer

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Integer>

"An integer (from the Latin integer meaning "whole")[note 1] is a number that can be written without a fractional component. For example, 21, 4, 0, and -2048 are integers, while 9.75, $5+1/2$, and $\sqrt{2}$ are not.

The set of integers consists of zero (0), the positive natural numbers (1, 2, 3, ...), also called whole numbers or counting numbers"

1 is an integer

0.888.. is not an integer

0.999.. is not an integer

by notation meaning

thus 0.999.. like 0.888..

can not be whole numbers -as they are fractions

0.999.. like 0.888..

can not be whole numbers

as

they have fractional components

thus when an integer $1 =$ a non-integer 0.999.. maths ends in contradiction

In DeLillo's *Cosmopolis* [a character] says, "What a culture does is absorb and neutralize its adversaries." If you're a writer who, one way or another, comes to be seen as dangerous, you'll wake up one morning and discover your face on a coffee mug or a t-shirt and

you'll have been neutralized Capitalist culture neutralizes anything dangerous, anything that might threaten the consumer society.

but

this philosophy of Magister colin leslie dean regarding the meaninglessness of mathematics and science cannot be acknowledged as it destroys the system that tries to acknowledge it In other words to turn deans views into a commodity infact advertises the very thing a science based society does not want ie advertising its meaninglessness - it destroys science itself by the very fact of acknowledging it

these case studies that that prove mathematics/science end in meaninglessness: prove nihilism:all products of human thought end in meaninglessness by Magister colin leslie dean the only modern Renaissance man with 9 degrees including 4 masters: B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies) : who proved Godels theorems to be invalid

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/GODEL5.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/32970323/Godels-incompleteness-theorem-invalid-illegitimate>

Penrose could not even see Godels theorems end in meaninglessness

Dean shows Godels 1st and 2nd theorems shown to end in meaninglessness

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/GODEL5.pdf>

Godels 1st theorem

“Any effectively generated theory capable of expressing elementary arithmetic cannot be both consistent and complete. In particular, for any consistent, effectively generated formal theory that proves certain basic arithmetic truths, there is an arithmetical statement that is true, [1] but not provable in the theory (Kleene 1967, p. 250)

but Gödel can't tell us what makes a mathematical statement true,

thus his theorem is meaningless

even Cambridge expert on Gödel Peter Smith admits

"Gödel didn't rely on the notion of truth"

thus by not telling us what makes a maths statement true Gödel's 1st theorem is meaningless

so much for separating truth from proof

and for some relish

Gödel uses his G statement to prove his theorem but Gödel's sentence G is outlawed by the very axiom of the system he uses to prove his theorem i.e. the axiom of reducibility - thus his proof is invalid,

Gödel's 2nd theorem

Gödel's second theorem ends in paradox – impredicative

The theorem in a rephrasing reads

http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6del%27s_incompleteness_theorems#Proof_sketch_for_the_second_theorem

The following rephrasing of the second theorem is even more unsettling to the foundations of mathematics: If an axiomatic system can be proven to be consistent and complete from within itself, then it is inconsistent.”

or again

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/G%C3%B6del%27s_incompleteness_theorems

"The second incompleteness theorem, an extension of the first, shows that the system cannot demonstrate its own consistency."

But here is a contradiction Gödel must prove that a system cannot be proven to be consistent based upon the premise that the logic he uses must be consistent. If the logic he uses is not consistent then he cannot make a proof that is consistent. So he must assume that his logic is consistent so he can make a proof of the impossibility of proving a system to be consistent. But if his proof is true then he has proved that the logic he uses to make the proof must be consistent, but his proof proves that this cannot be done

note if Godels system is inconsistent then it can demonstrate its consistency and inconsistency

but Godels theorem does not say that

it says

"...the system cannot demonstrate its own consistency"

thus as said above

"But here is a contradiction Godel must prove that a system cannot be proven to be consistent based upon the premise that the logic he uses must be consistent"

But if his proof is true then he has proved that the logic he uses to make the proof must be consistent, but his proof proves that this cannot be done

Also dean shows Schrödinger's cat thought experiment is meaningless as he cant tell us what dead is what alive is

Now as dean points out, if some bright spark wants to tell us what according to them, not Schrodinger, dead is what alive is then

in the process tell us your religion your culture your philosophy your spirituality your science behind what dead is what alive is and if you go to science for your definition of life bear in mind we are told by science

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life>

"Biology is the science concerned with the study of life."

but

<https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Life>

"There is currently no consensus regarding the definition of life"

so basically

without science knowing what life is

then dead and alive have no meaning

biology science dont even know what life is-how ironic they study life
but dont know what life is

that is why biology is not a science

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Bbiology-is-not-a-science.pdf>

Aside dont you think it strange that after say 85 years none of the
worlds greatest geniuses have bothered to ask what Schrodinger
means by dead means by alive or the geniuses ask does dead mean?
what does alive mean? -this is the power of consensus trance
consensus trance

https://wikispooks.com/wiki/Consensus_trance

this meaninglessness of Schrodinger's thought experiment is one
proof of the view that all products of human thought end in
meaninglessness ie mathematics and science

Colin leslie dean is also Australia's leading erotic poet

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/book-genre/poetry/>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press>

Colin leslie dean argues all products of human thought end in
meaninglessness

examples/case studies

1)Mathematics/science ends in contradiction:an integer=a non-integer

it is proven

When a system is inconsistent you can prove anything in that system

With maths being inconsistent you can prove anything in maths ie you can prove Fermat's last theorem and you can disprove Fermat's last theorem

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/All-things-are-possible.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/324037705/All-Things-Are-Possible-philosophy>

2) Mathematics ends in contradiction: 6 examples example 2 it is proven $1+1=1$

1 heap of salt + 1 heap of salt = 1 heap of salt

1[number 2] + 1 [number 3] = 1 [number 5]

Thus $1+1=1$

Maths ends in contradiction

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/MATHEMATICS.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/40697621/Mathematics-Ends-in-Meaninglessness-ie-self-contradiction>

all very simple and clear

the facts are

1) it is proven an integer = a non-integer

1 is a finite number it stops

A finite decimal is one that stops, like 0.157

A non-finite decimal like 0.888... does not stop

A non-finite decimal like 0.999... does not stop

when a finite number $1 =$ a non-finite number 0.999.. then maths ends in contradiction

another way

1 is an integer a whole number

0.888... is a non-integer it is not a whole number

0.999... is a non-integer not a whole number

by notation meaning

thus 0.999.. like 0.888..

can not be whole numbers -as they are fractions

0.999.. like 0.888..

can not be whole numbers

as

they have fractional components

when a integer 1 =a non-integer 0.999... maths ends in contradiction

or another way

Do the 9s stop:0.999... No- thus maths ends in contradiction

maths proves

it is said

$1=0.999\dots$

0.999...

"the 9s dont stop"

thus

0.999.. is an infinite decimal non-integer

just like

0.888... the 8s dont stop thus is an infinite decimal non-integer

0.777... the 7s dont stop thus is an infinite decimal non-integer

0.666... the 6s dont stop thus is an infinite decimal non-integer

so when

$1=0.999\dots$

that means

[integer] $1=0.999\dots$ [you say the 9s dont stop-] thus an infinite decimal non-integer

we have a contradiction

or again

when maths proves $1=0.999\dots$

thus

Let be $x = 0.999\dots$ [the notation means $0.999\dots$ you admit "The 9's never stop" thus is an infinite decimal is a non-integer]

$$10x = 9.999\dots$$

$$10x - x = 9.999\dots - 0.999\dots$$

$$9x = 9$$

$$x = 1$$
 [the notation mean 1 is a whole number an integer]

$$1 = 0.999\dots$$

it is proven an integer (1) = a non-integer (0.999...) [you admit "The 9's never stop" thus is an infinite decimal] maths ends in contradiction

OR

when something is (A and not A) simultaneously it is a contradiction

we start with

$x = 0.999\dots$ (Infinite decimal not integer not whole number) A

and end up with

$x=1$ (integer whole number) not A

so x is both A AND not A

which is a contradiction

proof

$x = 0.999\dots$ (Infinite decimal not integer not whole number) A

$10x = 9.999\dots$

$10x-x = 9.999\dots - 0.999\dots$

$9x = 9$

$x = 1$ (integer whole number) not A

Which is a "contradiction" because a "finite number" be equal to a "non-finite number"

Which is a "contradiction" because a integer be equal to a non-integer

when something is (A and not A) simultaneously it is a contradiction

so x is both a whole number (1) AND not a whole number (0.999..)

which is a contradiction

or

so when $1=0.999\dots$ we have a contradiction

notation

0.999.. infinite decimal not integer not whole number (A)

1 integer whole number (not A)

so we have

a not whole number (A)≠/is a whole number(not A)

then maths ends in contradiction

All products of human thought end in meaninglessness

1)Darwins book is called On the Origin of Species by Means of Natural Selection

but

this paper shows natural selection is not the origin of new species

Natural selection is not the origin of new species

http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/books/philosophy/Natural_selection.pdf

"Natural selection does not generate new genes/species Natural selection adds no new genetic information as it only deals with the passing on of genes/traits already present and it will be pointed out genetics cannot account for the generation of new species/genes as it is claimed the generation of new genes [via mutation] is a random process due to radiation, viruses, chemicals etc and genetic cannot account for these process happening as they are out side the scope of genetics physics, chaos theory etc may give some explanation but genetics cant"

2) Biologist cant tell us what a species is -without contradiction thus evolution theory ie evolving species is nonsense

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/BIOLOGISTS-DON.pdf>

Biologists agree there is species hybridization

but that contradicts what a species is

3) biologist tell us they investigate life

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Bbiology-is-not-a-science.pdf>

but

they cant tell us what life is-they can tell us what life does but cant tell us what life is they cant even give a definition of life that is not nonsense

You can prove Nihilism is objectively true

Magister colin leslie dean the only modern Renaissance man
HAS SHOWN

First you can prove by logic all products of human thinking end in meaningless

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/All-things-are-possible.pdf>

Now natural language is inconsistent "now it is clear from the work of Tarski that the language of everyday speech is" semantically closed" and hence inconsistent""

thus

"Now with the inconsistency of language all possible views and their negation are now possible"

"Each view contains within it its negation as all views end in meaninglessness"

But

Thus Nihilism ends in contradiction meaninglessness as it shows all views end in meaninglessness but the logic it uses to show that meaninglessness is also part of the meaningless of everything thus that logic has no authority-thus it cant prove/disprove anything-thus a contradiction at the heart of Nihilism

Second you can then prove using that logic that the logic you use

ends in paradox

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/2012/09/logiccentrismbook.pdf>

"Aristotelian logic as an epistemic condition of truth, the grand narrative of western philosophy: logic-centrism, the limitations of Aristotelian logic, the end of Aristotelian logic, logic/essence and language lead to the meaningless of all views"