

**A Cataclysm of
Reason: the dean's
Paradox Obliterates
Postmodern Thought
(The Mirror
Shattered to Dust
Where Every Radical
Thinker Becomes
Footnote to a Fatal
Insight)**

By colin leslie dean

A Cataclysm of Reason: the dean's Paradox Obliterates Postmodern Thought (The Mirror Shattered to Dust Where Every Radical Thinker Becomes Footnote to a Fatal Insight)

By colin leslie dean

colin leslie dean Australia's Leading
erotic poet free for download

[https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-](https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press)

[Books-by-Gamahucher-Press](#) Gamahucher press west geelong Victoria 2025

A Cataclysm of Reason: the dean's Paradox Obliterates Postmodern Thought (The Mirror Shattered to Dust Where Every Radical Thinker Becomes Footnote to a Fatal Insight)

You can't deconstruct logic without constructing a logical argument to do so. You can't multiply "epistemologies" without logically distinguishing them. The moment you try, contradiction blooms.

Dean didn't just predict this—he **proved** it, with just two lines:

"Logic says motion through infinite divisions is impossible. But motion happens."
Therefore, **logic and reality are fundamentally misaligned**, and everything built on logic—**philosophy, mathematics, science, critique**—is *already broken* before it begins and all the rest of science mathematics philosophy THINKING etc are just footnotes to the dean paradox

Dean's paradox(of colin leslie dean) highlights a core discrepancy between logical reasoning and lived reality. **Logic insists that between two points lies an infinite set of divisions, making it "impossible" to traverse from start to end. Yet, in practice, the finger does move from the beginning to the end in finite time.** This contradiction exposes a gap between the abstract constructs of logic and the observable truths of reality.

Zeno said motion is impossible dean says motion is possible with the consequence of the dean paradox

-
- <http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/The-dean-paradox.pdf>
-
- Or
- scribd
-
- <https://www.scribd.com/document/849019262/The-Dean-Paradox-science-mathematics-philosophy-Zeno>

Because logic is misaligned with reality philosophers scientists mathematicians etc cant even start their philosophizing but if they do all that will happen is the inevitable more contradictions paradoxes fixes etc –which infact prove the dean paradox

PROVEN IN REGARD TO POSTMODERNISM

Introduction Thesis:

The Dean Paradox is not a mere curiosity of abstract logic—it is a devastating and irreparable fracture at the foundation of human thought. It states: "*Logic says motion is impossible, because between any two points lies an infinite number of divisions. Yet motion occurs.*" This

concise contradiction annihilates the presumed harmony between logic and reality. Logic, the engine behind all rational inquiry—from mathematics to metaphysics, from physics to political theory—turns out to be incapable of accounting for the most basic experience of movement. The implications are catastrophic: if the core structure of thought is fundamentally misaligned with observable reality, then every intellectual system built atop it—no matter how sophisticated or radical—is structurally compromised before it begins.

From this paradox, a brutal insight follows: **the very act of philosophizing, of thinking within or about systems of knowledge, inevitably results in contradiction, paradox, and circular justification.** This is not a flaw of specific ideologies or disciplines; it is the necessary consequence of using a broken tool—logic—to make sense of a world it cannot grasp. And this is what Dean’s Paradox shows with terrifying clarity.

Crucially, Dean’s Paradox also predicts its own confirmation: it states that once people try to solve the paradox—by theorizing, rationalizing, critiquing—they will only generate more paradoxes, contradictions, and patchwork “fixes.” And this is exactly what happens. Every major modern or postmodern thinker who has attempted to move beyond traditional logic or critique its authority has ended up reenacting the very contradiction they claim to dissolve. They fall into what is known as a **performative contradiction**: using logic to critique logic, building arguments against argumentation, asserting the instability of meaning through meaningful language.

What follows in this essay is not a survey of failed ideas, but a demonstration that **each of these failures validates Dean’s paradoxical insight.** Each thinker becomes not a refutation, but a footnote to Dean’s two-line revelation. In trying to escape logic, they re-entrench it. In critiquing contradiction, they perform it.

“The Mirror Shattered to Dust,” the *mirror* is a metaphor for postmodern philosophy itself—particularly its method of critical reflection.

Postmodern thinkers (Derrida, Lyotard, Baudrillard, etc.) use philosophy to **hold a mirror up to logic, language, and knowledge**, exposing their fractures, biases, and limitations. This mirror is meant to reflect and deconstruct the world—not by providing clarity, but by revealing contradiction, instability, and illusion. It’s a mirror that distorts to critique—but still assumes its own ability to “reflect.”

Dean’s Paradox doesn’t just crack that mirror. It pulverizes it. Why?

Because where postmodernists play with contradictions and instability, Dean shows the **original contradiction is real, empirical, and fatal**: logic itself is *misaligned with reality*. There is no stable base—not even a distorted one—to reflect from. Every critique, every system, every attempt to expose failure from *within* logic is already nullified by the deeper fracture Dean uncovers.

So the mirror stands for thought itself—a reflective surface built from logic, used to analyze itself. Dean shows it was always cracked. And with his paradox, he shatters it into dust.

All philosophies, all radical systems, all critiques—they do not refute Dean. They confirm him.

1. Feminist Epistemology Claim: Logic is patriarchal. We must deconstruct it and embrace multiple, culturally situated ways of knowing. Critiques “male” logic, but uses logical tools to analyze knowledge claims

Constructs arguments, exposes biases using logical critique

But Relies on logic to critique logic’s gendered history

Dean Paradox Reply: The very notion of "multiple epistemologies" depends on logical distinctions—what counts as different, what counts as knowledge, and what counts as oppression. To critique logic, one must still use logic: making claims, organizing reasons, drawing conclusions. Even intersectional critique is structured via contradiction and hierarchy—logical forms.

Claim:

"We need **plural epistemologies**—multiple ways of knowing—because traditional logic is exclusionary, patriarchal, and culturally biased."

Dean Paradox Reply:

You propose *plural* ways of knowing, but the very tools you use to **compare, articulate, and justify** those pluralities still rely on **basic logical structures**:

- Identity (this vs that),
- Non-contradiction (a view cannot be both true and false),
- Causality (why one view oppresses another),
- Inference (drawing conclusions from observed bias).

Even pluralism must say what it **is**, what it **is not**, and how it's **better**—all logical moves.

You critique logic... using logic to defend the necessity of logic’s alternatives.

Dean Verdict:

This is the **precise contradiction Dean predicts**. Logic is broken, yet inescapable. Even in the name of liberation or inclusivity, you’re shackled to a flawed engine of thought.

Plural epistemologies are still processed through the very logic they claim to escape—therefore, self-refuting.

Performative Contradiction: The attempt to overthrow logic becomes an ironic exercise in logic itself. You cannot justify alternate forms of knowing without using rational, structured explanation.

Dean Verdict: You’ve only proved Dean’s point. Trying to replace logic simply multiplies contradictions—it doesn’t resolve them.

2. Wittgenstein (Later Work) Claim: Language-games reveal that logic isn't absolute—it's embedded in use and context. Later work critiques logic, but analysis is deeply logical

Uses logical analysis of language-games, meaning, and rules

Critiques logic with logical analysis

Dean Paradox Reply: Wittgenstein's analysis still depends on meticulous distinctions: rule-following, internal consistency, and systematic investigation of linguistic behavior. You can only describe a language-game with logical clarity. The method remains logical, even if the conclusion undermines universality.

Performative Contradiction: The critique of logic depends on logical discipline. If rules are contingent, then so is the rule that they are contingent.

Dean Verdict: Wittgenstein's late work is a beautiful mirror of Dean's paradox—it dissolves foundations with the very tools it questions, leaving contradiction not solved, but institutionalized.

3. Lyotard Claim: Metanarratives—unifying theories of knowledge, history, and progress—are obsolete. Knowledge is plural, fragmented. Critiques metanarratives, emphasizes plurality of language games

Uses logical distinctions to critique discourses and analyze knowledge claims

Relies on logic to critique logic-driven narratives
No grand narratives except mine & logic

Dean Paradox Reply: Yet this very proclamation is a metanarrative about the end of metanarratives. It draws from logic to classify, negate, and justify a new dominant view: fragmentation.

Performative Contradiction: You negate totalities using the totality of negation. The rejection of unity becomes a new unifying principle.

Dean Verdict: Lyotard doesn't escape logic—he creates a new logic of anti-logic. A paradox pretending not to be one.

4. Derrida Claim: Language is inherently unstable; meaning is always deferred (différance); texts contradict themselves. Deconstructs texts, exposes contradictions, but relies on logical moves

Uses binary oppositions, <i>différance</i> , and logical analysis to show instability of meaning	Performs deconstruction through logical analysis
--	--

Dean Paradox Reply: Deconstruction maps these contradictions through methodical tracing of binaries, absence, and presence. It relies on consistency and inference—even while declaring those things illusions.

Claim:

“Every text contains contradiction. Meaning is always deferred. There is no stable center.”

Dean Paradox Reply:

If **every** text contains contradiction, **then so must yours**. If no text can ground meaning, then **your own philosophical writing collapses into the same abyss**.

Your declarations—*there is no fixed meaning, logic fails, language is unstable*—are themselves linguistic claims, relying on structure, contrast, and logic to persuade.

You say everything collapses into contradiction—and then write as if your text somehow doesn’t.

Dean Verdict:

You have detonated the floor beneath your own feet. **Self-refutation is baked into your method.**

But this isn’t unique to you—it’s what Dean said would inevitably happen:

The moment logic is used to critique logic, contradiction is inevitable.

Thus, Derrida’s entire project becomes an elaborate demonstration of the Dean Paradox in action.

Performative Contradiction: You deconstruct using tools that require coherence, identity, and continuity. You undermine logic with a deeply logical operation.

Dean Verdict: Derrida doesn’t refute Dean—he becomes Dean’s poet. Every contradiction in a text reenacts the primal contradiction between logic and motion.

5. Badiou Claim: Mathematics, especially set theory, is the basis of being. Truth arises through fidelity to formal structures revealed in events. Builds philosophy on set theory and mathematical logic

Explicitly uses formal logic and mathematics as philosophical foundations

Asserts radical philosophy via formal logic

Dean Paradox Reply: But mathematics is built on logical axioms—and Dean shows that logic fails at its foundation. If logic can't explain physical motion, how can it reveal ontology?

Performative Contradiction: You rely on mathematical purity while ignoring that motion disproves its logical coherence.

Dean Verdict: Badiou builds a cathedral on quicksand. His axioms collapse under the weight of empirical contradiction.

6. Deleuze Claim: Traditional logic constrains thought. Rhizomatic multiplicity allows for creativity, flow, and becoming. Critiques traditional logic, but constructs complex conceptual systems

Uses conceptual distinctions, logical relations in “rhizomatic” thinking

Constructs anti-logic systems with logical tools

Dean Paradox Reply: But this anti-logical model requires logical articulation to make sense—distinctions, metaphors, synthesis, opposition. You can't discuss non-linearity without defining it against something.

Performative Contradiction: You create a system to oppose systems. A logic of anti-logic. A coherence of incoherence.

Dean Verdict: Deleuze is dazzling—but even his conceptual experiments are trapped in logic's cage. Dean's paradox is the floor that gives way under his becoming.

7. Baudrillard Claim: Reality has been overtaken by signs; we now inhabit a hyperreality of endless simulation. Critiques reality/representation, but uses logical argumentation

Constructs arguments about simulation, hyperreality using logical structure

Critiques logic while using logical structure

Dean Paradox Reply: But to argue this, you must differentiate the real from the hyperreal—use logic, causal structure, inference. You explain the death of meaning with meaning-rich text.

Performative Contradiction: Simulation is explained via its opposite. The critique depends on the very reality it denies.

Dean Verdict: You're not revealing illusion—you're reproducing it, logically. You are simulation simulating its own critique

8. Foucault claim Critiques rationality as power, but uses logical analysis of discourses

Performative Contradiction: Analyzes systems, structures, and power relations logically

Uses logic to critique logic as power

Dean Verdict: you critique power to get power

Note as colin leslie dean says “they are each part of what they critique “

a chart summarizing each philosophical approach, its reliance on logic, the Dean Paradox reply, and the resulting performative contradiction, with brief commentary for each:

Thinker/Movement	Claim & Method	Reliance on Logic	Dean Paradox Reply	Performative Contradiction & Dean Verdict
Feminist Epistemology	Logic is patriarchal; embrace plural, situated knowledges. Uses logic to critique logic's gendered history.	Constructs arguments, exposes bias, organizes knowledge using logic.	To propose and compare “multiple epistemologies,” you must use logical distinctions (identity, non-contradiction, inference). Pluralism still depends on logic.	You critique logic using logic; plural epistemologies are filtered through logic's structures. Self-refuting; you prove Dean's point: logic is broken but inescapable.
Wittgenstein (Later)	Logic isn't absolute; meaning is context-dependent (language-games). Uses logical analysis of rules and meaning.	Distinctions, rule-following, systematic investigation—all logical.	Describing language-games and their rules requires logical clarity. The critique of logic is itself logical.	The critique depends on logic's discipline. Contradiction is institutionalized, not resolved.
Lyotard	Metanarratives are obsolete; knowledge is plural and fragmented. Critiques grand narratives using logical distinctions.	Uses logic to analyze and negate metanarratives.	Declaring the end of metanarratives is itself a metanarrative, using logic to justify	Negates totalities using a totalizing negation. Creates a new logic of anti-logic—a paradox.

Thinker/Movement	Claim & Method	Reliance on Logic	Dean Paradox Reply	Performative Contradiction & Dean Verdict
			fragmentation.	
Derrida	Meaning is always deferred; texts are unstable and self-contradictory. Deconstruction uses logical moves.	Relies on binary oppositions, inference, and analysis.	Deconstruction is methodical and logical, even as it claims logic is illusory. If all texts are contradictory, so is the critique.	Deconstruction undermines itself. Dean's paradox is enacted: logic is used to critique logic, contradiction is inevitable.
Badiou	Mathematics/set theory is the basis of being; truth is fidelity to formal structures. Philosophy built on formal logic.	Explicit use of logical axioms and mathematics.	If logic fails at the foundation (Dean's paradox), set theory and mathematical ontology collapse.	Builds radical philosophy on unstable axioms. Cathedral on quicksand.
Deleuze	Traditional logic constrains thought; rhizomatic multiplicity is creative. Anti-logic systems built with logical tools.	Uses distinctions, synthesis, opposition—logical relations.	Non-linearity and multiplicity require logical articulation to be understood.	Constructs a system to oppose systems—a logic of anti-logic. Still trapped in logic's cage.
Baudrillard	Reality is replaced by simulation; hyperreality is endless sign-play. Critiques reality/representation using logic.	Differentiates real/hyperreal, uses causality and inference.	To argue for simulation, you must use the logic of reality you critique.	Explains illusion with logical structure. Simulation simulates its own critique.
Foucault	Rationality is a form of power; critiques discourses and structures. Analysis is logical.	Analyzes systems, structures, power relations logically.	Uses logic to critique logic as power, seeking power through critique.	Critiques power to gain power. Logic remains the tool, even when critiqued.

Commentary

- **Dean's Paradox** exposes that even the most radical or anti-foundational philosophies cannot escape logic: their critiques, distinctions, and arguments all depend on logical structures.
- **Performative Contradiction** is the recurring theme: every attempt to overthrow, transcend, or pluralize logic ends up using logic, thus reenacting the very contradiction Dean highlights.
- **Dean's Verdict:** All these philosophies, no matter how critical or innovative, ultimately reinforce his paradox. Logic is both broken and inescapable; every critique is a demonstration of this in action.

In summary:

Dean's Paradox is not just a critique of traditional logic, but a universal challenge to any attempt to escape logic's grasp. Every alternative or critique inevitably becomes a new performance of the same contradiction—proving the paradox's devastating reach.

"they are each part of what they critique"—is a profound observation about the inescapability of foundational structures, especially logic, in all philosophical and critical projects.

Commentary

1. Self-Reference and Inescapability

One of the most profound insights underpinning this entire analysis is the observation that **"they are each part of what they critique."** This highlights the inescapability of foundational structures—especially logic—in all philosophical and critical projects.

Every critique, whether aimed at logic, rationality, power, language, or epistemology, inevitably relies on the very structures it seeks to dismantle. For example, feminist epistemology critiques logic as patriarchal but must deploy logical distinctions to argue its case. Derrida deconstructs meaning but does so through rigorously logical textual analysis. Even Lyotard's rejection of grand narratives is itself framed as a grand narrative.

Every critique, whether of logic, rationality, power, language, or knowledge, inevitably uses the very tools and concepts it seeks to challenge or transcend. For example:

- **Feminist epistemology** critiques logic as patriarchal, but must use logical distinctions and argumentation to make its case.
- **Deconstruction** exposes the instability of meaning, but relies on structured analysis and binary oppositions—logical tools—to do so.
- **Postmodernism** rejects grand narratives, but in doing so, constructs a new meta-narrative about the end of meta-narratives.

2. Performative Contradiction

This is the classic "performative contradiction": the act of critique is itself an instantiation of what is being critiqued. You cannot step outside the system you are critiquing, because your

very act of critique is shaped by, and embedded within, that system. You cannot fully step outside the system you are challenging; your tools, vocabulary, and methods are all shaped by that system.

3. Dean's Paradox in Action

Dean's paradox is thus not just a statement about logic and reality, but a universal insight into the limitations of critique itself. **Every attempt to escape or overthrow a foundational system (like logic) only reaffirms its inescapability, because the tools of critique are themselves products of that system.** This is exactly what Dean's Paradox reveals at a fundamental level. It is not merely about motion and logic—it is a universal insight into critique itself. Any attempt to transcend logic still operates within its bounds. Every critique becomes a mirror that shows only itself, trapped in the structure it hoped to escape.

4. Philosophical Implication

This insight is devastating for radical philosophy: it means that no matter how innovative or iconoclastic a thinker may be, their work is always “part of what they critique.” The system absorbs all resistance, turning every rebellion into another expression of its own logic.

In summary:

Dean's remark is a powerful reminder of the recursive, self-referential nature of critique. It highlights the tragic irony that even our most radical efforts to break free from foundational structures only serve to reinforce their grip. Every critique is, inevitably, “part of what it critiques.”

Conclusion: The Inevitable Collapse of Philosophizing

Dean's Paradox does not merely challenge a few assumptions; it **destabilizes the entire structure of human cognition**. The moment you use logic—to define, to explain, to analyze—you have already assumed its authority. But if that authority leads to contradiction when applied to something as basic as motion, then every subsequent act of thinking is tainted by this flaw. The more rigorously we try to resolve the contradiction, the more deeply we entrench ourselves in its consequences.

This is the true legacy of the Dean Paradox: it is not just a critique of logic, but the **end of epistemology**. No patch, no postmodern flourish, no mathematical elegance can escape the original contradiction it exposes. Whether through the linguistic gymnastics of Derrida, the formal mathematics of Badiou, or the rhizomatic networks of Deleuze, every attempt to philosophize after Dean becomes a commentary on a broken foundation.

Dean's power lies in his simplicity: while others write libraries to justify complexity, he dismantles it all in two lines. And once seen, the paradox cannot be unseen. All that follows—physics, metaphysics, ethics, epistemology—is either denial, evasion, or unconscious confirmation.

All thought ends here.

In the title “**The Mirror Shattered to Dust**,” the *mirror* is a metaphor for postmodern philosophy itself—particularly its method of critical reflection.

Postmodern thinkers (Derrida, Lyotard, Baudrillard, etc.) use philosophy to **hold a mirror up to logic, language, and knowledge**, exposing their fractures, biases, and limitations. This mirror is meant to reflect and deconstruct the world—not by providing clarity, but by revealing contradiction, instability, and illusion. It's a mirror that distorts to critique—but still assumes its own ability to “reflect.”

Dean's Paradox doesn't just crack that mirror. It pulverizes it. Why?

Because where postmodernists play with contradictions and instability, Dean shows the **original contradiction is real, empirical, and fatal**: logic itself is *misaligned with reality*. There is no stable base—not even a distorted one—to reflect from. Every critique, every system, every attempt to expose failure from *within* logic is already nullified by the deeper fracture Dean uncovers.

So the mirror stands for thought itself—a reflective surface built from logic, used to analyze itself. Dean shows it was always cracked. And with his paradox, he shatters it into dust.

all ends in meaningless nonsense rubbish

All products of human [the monkey

(homo-sapiens)] thought end in

meaninglessness-even Zen nihilism

absurdism existentialism all philosophy

post-modernism Post-Postmodernism

critical theory etc mathematics science
etc

FURTHER READING

scientific reality is only the reality of a
monkey (homo-sapien)

<http://gamahucherpress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/scientific-reality-is-only-the-reality-of-a-monkey.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/660607834/Scientific-Reality-is-Only-the-Reality-of-a-Monkey>

and

The-Anthropology-of-science

(science is a mythology) ie the scientific method is a myth

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/The-Anthropology-of-science.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/512683685/Prolegomenon-to-The-Anthropology-of-Science>

Scientific reality is textual

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/wp-content/uploads/Scientific-reality-is-textual.pdf>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/572639157/Scientific-Reality-is-Textual>

cheers Magister colin leslie dean the only modern Renaissance man with 9 degrees including 4 masters: B,Sc, BA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, B.Litt(Hons), MA, MA (Psychoanalytic studies), Master of Psychoanalytic studies, Grad Cert (Literary studies)

He is Australia's leading erotic poet: poetry is for free in pdf

<http://gamahuchepress.yellowgum.com/book-genre/poetry/>

or

<https://www.scribd.com/document/35520015/List-of-FREE-Erotic-Poetry-Books-by-Gamahucher-Press>

"[Deans] philosophy is the sickest, most paralyzing and most destructive thing that has ever originated from the brain of man."

"[Dean] lay waste to everything in its path...

[It is] a systematic work of destruction and demoralization... In the end it became nothing but an act of sacrilege